

★ Long-time stability of noncharacteristic boundary layers in gas dynamics ★

Toan Nguyen* and Kevin Zumbrun
Indiana University
<http://mypage.iu.edu/~nguyentt>



Boundary layers

Consider a laminar boundary layer

$$\begin{cases} (\rho, u, e)(x, t) = (\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{e})(x_1/\epsilon) \\ \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow +\infty} (\bar{\rho}, \bar{u}, \bar{e})(x_1) = (\rho_+, u_+, e_+), \end{cases}$$

the planar stationary solution of the compressible Navier–Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0 \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u^t u) + \nabla p = \epsilon \mu \Delta u + \epsilon(\mu + \eta) \nabla \operatorname{div} u \\ (\rho E)_t + \operatorname{div}((\rho E + p)u) = \epsilon \kappa \Delta T + \epsilon \mu \operatorname{div}((u \cdot \nabla)u) \\ \quad + \epsilon(\mu + \eta) \nabla(u \cdot \operatorname{div} u), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, on a half-space $x_1 > 0$, where ρ denotes density, $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ velocity, e specific internal energy, $E = e + \frac{|u|^2}{2}$ specific total energy, $p = p(\rho, e)$ pressure, $T = T(\rho, e)$ temperature; $\epsilon = 1/\operatorname{Re}$.

Boundary conditions

Equations (1) are considered with no-slip *suction-type* boundary conditions on the velocity,

$$u_j(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = 0, \quad j \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = V(x) < 0,$$

and prescribed temperature, $T(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = T_{\text{wall}}(\tilde{x})$ (density on the wall is determined by the initial data); the outflow problem.

Or alternatively, with *blowing-type* boundary conditions

$$u_j(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = 0, \quad j \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = V(x) > 0,$$

and prescribed temperature and pressure

$$T(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = T_{\text{wall}}(\tilde{x}), \quad p(0, x_2, \dots, x_d) = p_{\text{wall}}(\tilde{x})$$

(equivalently, prescribed temperature and density); the inflow problem.

This corresponds to the situation of an airfoil with microscopic holes through which gas is pumped from the surrounding flow, the microscopic suction imposing a fixed normal velocity while the macroscopic surface imposes standard temperature conditions as in flow past a (nonporous) plate. This configuration was suggested by Prandtl and tested experimentally by G.I. Taylor as a means to reduce drag by stabilizing laminar flow. It was implemented in the NASA F-16XL experimental aircraft program in the 1990's with reported 25% reduction in drag at supersonic speeds.

Possibility of boundary layers

Boundary layers often appear in small viscosity, i.e., $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Technically, the possibility is due to loss of number of necessary imposed boundary conditions when viscous and inviscid equations are considered. For instance, number of BCs of (1) is either $d + 2$ or $d + 1$ depending on values of $u_1(0, \tilde{x})$ described above, whereas number of BCs for the Euler equations (i.e., $\epsilon = 0$) is equal to number of positive characteristic speeds.

The problem

- We study *long-time linearized and nonlinear stability* of such a boundary layer, **under small initial and boundary perturbations**. Boundary layers are assumed to be noncharacteristic: the signals to be transmitted into or out of but not along the boundary (i.e., $u_1(0, \tilde{x}) \neq 0$).
- The problem has been investigated for small amplitude isentropic layers by Matsumura-Nishihara (2001) and Kawashima-Nishibata-Zhu (2003) for one-d inflow/outflow case, and Kagei-Kawashima (2006) for multi-d case via energy estimate approach.

General form

The system will be written in a general form of hyperbolic–parabolic conservation laws

$$\tilde{U}_t + \sum_j F^j(\tilde{U})_{x_j} = \sum_{jk} (B^{jk}(\tilde{U})\tilde{U}_{x_k})_{x_j}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d = \{x_1 > 0\}, \quad t > 0, \quad (2)$$

$\tilde{U}, F^j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $B^{jk} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, with initial data $\tilde{U}(x, 0) = \tilde{U}_0(x)$.

Symmetrizability - extended Kawashima class

We assume that equations (2) can be written alternatively, after a triangular change of coordinates

$$\tilde{W} := \tilde{W}(\tilde{U}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}^I(\tilde{u}) \\ \tilde{w}^{II}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

in the *quasilinear, partially symmetric hyperbolic-parabolic form*

$$\tilde{A}^0 \tilde{W}_t + \sum_j \tilde{A}^j \tilde{W}_{x_j} = \sum_{jk} (\tilde{B}^{jk} \tilde{W}_{x_k})_{x_j} + \tilde{G}. \quad (4)$$

There are great advantages of using the symmetric form in obtaining nonlinear energy estimates (“Friedrichs-type”) controlling high derivatives and in deriving high–frequency bounds.

The Evans function; spectral stability assumption

The linearized equations of (2) about the layer \tilde{U} are

$$U_t = LU := \sum_{j,k} (B^{jk} U_{x_k})_{x_j} - \sum_j (A^j U)_{x_j} \quad (5)$$

with initial data $U(0) = U_0$. Let $L_{\tilde{\xi}}$ be the Fourier transform of linearized operator L in transversal variables \tilde{x} and write the eigenvalue equations $\lambda U - L_{\tilde{\xi}} U = 0$ in the first order ODEs

$$\begin{cases} W_{x_1} = A(x_1, \tilde{\xi}, \lambda) W \\ \Gamma W = 0 \quad \text{on } \{x_1 = 0\}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

- The Evans function $D(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)$, a Wronskian determinant of eigenvalue ODEs, is defined by

$$D(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda) := \det(\ker \Gamma, W_1^+, \dots, W_k^+)_{|x_1=0}$$

W_j^+ 's span subspaces of solutions of (6) decaying at $x_1 = +\infty$.

- Zeros of the Evans function are eigenvalues. Thus, a necessary condition for stability: $D(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda) \neq 0$ in $\{\Re e \lambda > 0\}$. We establish **a sufficient condition** as *strong spectral stability*:

$$|D(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)| \geq \theta(C) > 0 \quad (D)$$

for $(\tilde{\xi}, \lambda)$ on bounded subsets $C \subset \{\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}, \Re \lambda \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 1, [NZ.2]: Multi-dimensional stability.

Assuming strong spectral stability (D), boundary layers $\tilde{U} = (\bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho} \bar{u}, \bar{\rho} \bar{E})^T$ with arbitrary amplitudes are (linearly and) nonlinearly stable in dimensions $d \geq 2$, with rates of decay, for s large and any $2 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{U}(t) - \tilde{U}\|_{L^p} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-1/p)+1/2p} (\|U_0\|_{L^1 \cap H^s} + E_0) \\ \|\tilde{U}(t) - \tilde{U}\|_{H^s} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{d-1}{4}} (\|U_0\|_{L^1 \cap H^s} + E_0), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

provided that the initial data perturbations $U_0 := \tilde{U}_0 - \tilde{U}$ are sufficiently small in $L^1 \cap H^s$ (and boundary perturbations).

Theorem 2, [NZ.1]: One-dimensional stability.

Boundary layers \tilde{U} are (linearly and) nonlinearly stable in $L^p \cap H^4$, $p > 1$, with respect to small perturbations $U_0 \in H^4$, if and only if strong spectral stability (nonvanishing of $D(\lambda)$ in $\{\Re e \lambda \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$) holds. In case of stability, we obtain the sharp rates of decay

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{U}(x, t) - \tilde{U}(x)\|_{L^p} &\leq C E_0 (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \\ \|\tilde{U}(x, t) - \tilde{U}(x)\|_{H^4} &\leq C E_0 (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

(An extension of Yarahmadian and Zumbrun's result for strictly parabolic case).

Duhamel principle

We write the nonlinear perturbation equations in perturbation variable $U(x, t) := \tilde{U}(x, t) - \tilde{U}(x)$

$$U_t - LU = \sum_j Q^j(U, U_x)_{x_j}, \quad (8)$$

where $Q^j(U, U_x) = \mathcal{O}(|U||U_x| + |U|^2)$. Then Duhamel principle gives

$$U(x, t) = \mathcal{S}(t)U_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{S}(t-s) \partial_{x_j} Q^j(U, U_x) ds + \Gamma U(0, \tilde{x}, t) \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{S}(t) = e^{Lt}$ solution operator.

Decomposition of the solution operator

Inverse Laplace–Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{S}(t)f := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\tilde{\xi}}^{d-1}} \int_{\eta-i\infty}^{\eta+i\infty} e^{\lambda t + i\tilde{\xi} \cdot \tilde{x}} (L_{\tilde{\xi}} - \lambda)^{-1} \hat{f}(x_1, \tilde{\xi}) d\lambda d\tilde{\xi}$$

Decompose the solution operator into $\mathcal{S}(t) := \mathcal{S}_{LF}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{HF}(t)$ where $\mathcal{S}_{HF}(t)$ corresponds to the integration over

$$\{\lambda : \Re e \lambda = -\theta_1\} \cap \{|\lambda| + |\tilde{\xi}| \geq r\},$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{LF}(t)$ corresponds to

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\xi}} := \{\lambda : \Re e \lambda = -\theta_1(|\tilde{\xi}|^2 + |\Im m \lambda|^2)\} \cap \{|\lambda| + |\tilde{\xi}| \leq r\},$$

for $r, \theta_1 > 0$ sufficiently small.

Linearized estimates High–frequency:

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{HF}(t)f\|_{L_x^2} \leq C e^{-\theta_1 t} \|f\|_{H_x^2},$$

derived by applying a Laplace–Fourier transformed version of nonlinear (Goodman + Kawashima type) energy estimates to get an estimate for $(\lambda - L_{\tilde{\xi}})^{-1}$.

Low–frequency:

$$\|\mathcal{S}_1(t) \partial_x^\beta f\|_{L_x^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(d-1)/4 - |\beta|/2} \|f\|_{L_x^2} + C\beta_1(1+t)^{-(d-1)/4} \|f\|_{L_{x,x_1}^{1,\infty}}.$$

Main ingredients: Pointwise Green function approach, that is, to use the Evans function framework to construct and derive pointwise estimates on the resolvent kernel $G_{\tilde{\xi}, \lambda}(x_1, y_1)$.

An alternative [N.2]: Kreiss' symmetrizers-type analysis, that is, to directly estimate $(L_{\tilde{\xi}} - \lambda)^{-1} \hat{f}$ by using Guès–Métivier–Williams–Zumbrun's construction of Kreiss' symmetrizers [GMWZ6], which incidently extends the result to certain magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) boundary layers and allows us to drop a technical assumption on the so-called glancing set.

On verification of spectral stability

Analytical verifications: For small-amplitude layers, [GMWZ5] verifies the uniform Evans condition (D) in all dimensions $d \geq 2$. In the one–dimensional problem, the assumption can be verified via Kawashima-type energy estimates (still for small amplitudes).

Numerical verifications: In [CHNZ], we verify the spectral stability of **arbitrary-amplitudes** compressive boundary-layers for the isentropic gas.

References

- [CHNZ] N. Costanzino, J. Humpherys, T. Nguyen, and K. Zumbrun, *Spectral stability of noncharacteristic boundary layers of isentropic Navier–Stokes equations*, ARMA, to appear.
- [GMWZ5] O. Guès, G. Métivier, M. Williams, and K. Zumbrun, *Existence and stability of noncharacteristic hyperbolic-parabolic boundary-layers*. Preprint, 2008.
- [N.2] T. Nguyen. *On asymptotic stability of noncharacteristic viscous boundary layers*. Preprint, 2009.
- [NZ.1] T. Nguyen and K. Zumbrun. *Long-time stability of large-amplitude noncharacteristic boundary layers for hyperbolic-parabolic systems*. Preprint, 2008.
- [NZ.2] T. Nguyen and K. Zumbrun. *Long-time stability of multi-dimensional noncharacteristic viscous boundary layers*. Preprint, 2008.