

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

University of Minnesota

400 Lind Hall

207 Church Street S.E.

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

FAX (612) 626-7370

telephone (612) 624-6066

e-mail: ima-staff@ima.umn.edu

IMA Schedules via finger: finger_seminar@ima.umn.edu

Newsletters, Updates and preprints are available via

anonymous ftp: [ftp.ima.umn.edu](ftp://ftp.ima.umn.edu), www: <http://www.ima.umn.edu/>

The IMA was founded by and receives major support from the National Science Foundation.

IMA NEWSLETTER # 324

6-31 October 2003

2003-2004 Program

PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS IN COMPLEX SYSTEMS

See <http://www.ima.umn.edu/complex/> for a full description of the 2003-2004 program on Probability and Statistics in Complex Systems: Genomics, Networks, and Financial Engineering

IMA schedules are subject to revision, particularly during workshops. See

<http://www.ima.umn.edu/~seminar/sched> and

<http://www.ima.umn.edu/newsletters/> for the latest scheduling information.

PART I: NEWS AND NOTES

<p>IMA Workshop:</p>

<p>Comparative Genomics</p>

<p>20-24 October 2003</p>

<p>Organizers: Jens Lagergren (Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm), Bernard Moret (University of New Mexico), David Sankoff (University of Ottawa),</p>
--

<p>See http://www.ima.umn.edu/complex/fall/c2.html</p>
--

IMA Website

Comments or suggestions concerning the IMA website may be addressed to

webmaster@ima.umn.edu.

In particular, we appreciate any information about World-Wide Web links appropriate to current and upcoming IMA programs.

PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS: Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica (CWI), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Georgia Institute of Technology, Indiana University, Iowa State University, Kent State University, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Michigan State University, Mississippi State University, Northern Illinois University, Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Purdue University, Sandia National Laboratories, Seoul National University (BK21 Math-SNU), Seoul National University (SRCCS), Texas A&M University, University of Chicago, University of Cincinnati, University of Delaware, University of Houston, University of Illinois (Urbana), University of Iowa, University of Kentucky, University of Maryland, University of Michigan, University of Minnesota, University of Notre Dame, University of Pittsburgh, University of Wisconsin, University of Wyoming, Wayne State University.

PARTICIPATING CORPORATIONS: Boeing, ExxonMobil, Ford, General Motors, Honeywell, IBM, Lockheed Martin, Lucent, Motorola, Schlumberger, Siemens, Telcordia Technologies, 3M.

PART II: Schedule for 1–31 OCTOBER 2003

Monday, October 6

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

Tuesday, October 7

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

IMA POSTDOC SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

11:15-12:15 **Dr. Taerim Lee** Tree Structured Prognostic Model for Hepatocellular Carcinoma
Korea National Open University

Abstract: Recent progress in both diagnostic and therapeutic technique of hepatocellular carcinoma appears to improve the prognosis. The purpose of this study was designed to evaluate the prognosis of HCC in relation to treatment methods and their affecting factors by the tree- structured model. This paper attempt to identify and quantify the effect of prognostic factors namely, patient characteristics that related to the prognosis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Our proposed survival tree model uses statistical tests for the modified Cox-Snell residuals derived from fitting a Cox proportional hazards model, and therefore it can detect curvature of covariates and interactions among them. As a result, split covariate selection bias is negligible. Furthermore, our proposed piecewise constant modeling can be generalized with piecewise multiple linear modeling without much computational burden.

The IMA Postdoc Seminar is organized by Balaji Gopalakrishnan and Antar Bandyopadhyay.

12:45-2:15 pm **Random Matrices** This course (Math 8660) meets in Physics 133
G. Anderson and O. Zeitouni

Wednesday, October 8

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

BROWN BAG SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

12:00 **Lili Ju** Numerical Simulations of the Quantized Vortices in a
IMA Thin Superconducting Hollow Sphere

Abstract: In this talk, we investigate the vortex nucleation in a thin superconducting hollow sphere. The problem is studied using a simplified system of Ginzburg-Landau equations. We present finite volume methods which preserve the discrete gauge invariance for the time dependent simulation. The spatial discretization is based on a spherical centroidal Voronoi tessellation which offers a very effective high resolution mesh on the sphere for the order parameter as well as other physically interesting variables such as the super-current and the induced magnetic field. Various vortex configurations and energy diagrams are computed.

COMPLEX SYSTEMS SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

IMA POSTDOC SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

11:15-12:15 **Dr. Simon Morgan** Applications of Geometric Measure Theory to Variational
University of Minnesota Problems with Surfaces

Abstract: Geometric measure theory offers compactness theorems for candidate objects in variational problems, giving existence results in variational problems. Additionally it offers regularity information about limits of regular objects.

I will introduce the objects of geometric measure theory; rectifiable sets, currents and varifolds. Key examples of each and their associated topologies will be given.

The primary application of geometric measure theory was minimal surface theory, but I will also explain my own research into geometric measure theory techniques and my motivating applications.

The IMA Postdoc Seminar is organized by Balaji Gopalakrishnan and Antar Bandyopadhyay.

12:45-2:15 pm **Random Matrices** This course (Math 8660) meets in Physics 133
G. Anderson and O. Zeitouni

Wednesday, October 15

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

BROWN BAG SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

12:00 **Sabera Kazi** QOS-enabled MAC protocol for Wireless Networks of
Honeywell UAVS

COMPLEX SYSTEMS SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

2:30 pm **Stephen Willson** Finding Supertrees Using Distance Methods
Iowa State University and IMA

Abstract: Suppose that a tree T has the set S of leaves and S' is a subset of S . Then $T|S'$ denotes the tree obtained from T with the set S' of leaves, showing only the relationships among S' . Suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, k$, T_i is a tree with the set S_i of leaves. A “supertree” is a single tree T with the set S of leaves, where S is the union of the S_i , such that $T|S_i = T_i$ for each i . A major problem in phylogeny is, given such a collection of trees T_i , to identify a supertree T if one exists. A method for solving this problem could be used to combine different phylogenetic trees from different researchers into a larger tree.

The most common method currently used to find supertrees is MRP (matrix representation with parsimony). It is computationally intensive, it often leads to a great many possible solution trees, and it is not founded on theory.

This talk proposes a supertree method in which additional input information is required. We require in addition that for each tree T_i there is given an additive distance function d_i on S_i which estimates the amount of evolution between any two taxa. We then try to create a supertree T with additive distance function d which equals d_i when restricted to S_i .

Some results of simulations will be presented.

Thursday, October 16

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

12:45-2:15 pm **Random Matrices**
G. Anderson and O. Zeitouni

This course (Math 8660) meets in Physics 133

Friday, October 17

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

IMA/MCIM INDUSTRIAL PROBLEM SEMINAR, 570 Vincent Hall:

1:25pm **D. Subramanian** Mathematical Programming and Multiaircraft Conflict
Honeywell Labs, Minneapolis Resolution

Abstract: Free flight is an emerging paradigm in Air Traffic Management (ATM). Conflict detection and resolution is the heart of any free flight concept, and is the focus of this presentation. We address the problem of optimal cooperative three-dimensional (3D) conflict resolution involving multiple aircraft using rigorous numerical trajectory optimization methods. The conflict problem is posed as an optimal control problem of finding trajectories that minimize a certain objective function while maintaining the safe separation between each aircraft pair. We assume the origin and destination of the aircrafts are known and consider aircrafts models with simplified kinematics as well as detailed nonlinear point-mass dynamics. The protection zone around the aircraft is modeled to be cylindrical in shape. We propose a novel formulation of the cylindrical protection zone using continuous variables. The optimal control is converted to a finite dimensional Non Linear Program (NLP) using collocation on finite elements. We solve the NLP using an Interior Point algorithm that incorporates a novel line search method. Lastly, we also discuss some open problems of research interest in the above context.

Monday, October 20

IMA Workshop:
Comparative Genomics
20-24 October 2003
Organizers: Jens Lagergren (Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm), Bernard Moret
(University of New Mexico), David Sankoff (University of Ottawa),
See <http://www.ima.umn.edu/complex/fall/c2.html>

The increasing availability of complete genomes from diverse organisms offers unprecedented opportunities. Exploitation of the full power intergenomic comparative maps for all types of genomic events will be central in biological, medical and bioinformatics research in the post-genomic era. Several areas are crucial to the success of this enterprise, for instance: understanding patterns and processes of genome evolutionary change, mapping genomic mutational events, and the utilization of such maps as bioinformatics tools. Genomic data also facilitates phylogeny reconstruction based on genomic mutational events rather than nucleotide substitution.

The kernel of comparative genome analysis is the establishment of the correspondence (orthology analysis) between genes in different genomes. It is such intergenomic maps that make it possible to translate information from one organism to another. Genome evolution is shaped by a multitude of evolutionary events acting at various organizational levels. On a low level point mutations affect individual nucleotides. On a higher level genome segments are affected by processes such as duplication, lateral transfer, inversion, transposition, deletion and insertion. Finally, the whole genome is influenced by speciation and hybridization of organism lineages. The complexity of genome evolution poses a serious challenge in developing mathematical models and algorithms. Fortunately, there is a spectra of algorithmic techniques that can be applied to problems from this domain, ranging from exact, heuristic, fixed parameter and approximation algorithms for problems based on parsimony models to Monte Carlo Markov Chain algorithms for Bayesian analysis of problems based on probabilistic models.

All talks are in Lecture Hall EE/CS 3-180 unless otherwise noted.

8:30	Coffee and Registration	Reception Room EE/CS 3-176
9:15	Douglas N. Arnold, Scot Adams, and Organizers	Welcome and Introduction
9:30	Michael Lynch Indiana University	The Origins of Genome Complexity

Abstract: Complete genomic sequences from diverse phylogenetic lineages reveal striking increases in genome complexity across the prokaryote to unicellular eukaryote to multicellular eukaryote boundaries. The changes include gradual growth in gene number resulting from the retention of duplicate genes, more abrupt increases in the abundance of spliceosomal introns and mobile genetic elements, and enhanced modularity of gene regulation. A case can be made that many of these changes emerged passively in response to substantial long-term population-size reductions that accompanied increases in organism size and magnified the power of random genetic drift. Under this model, much of the restructuring of eukaryotic genome organization and the roots of many aspects of organismal complexity were initiated by nonadaptive processes. Although the mutational changes necessary for genomic modification are initiated by molecular processes, the population-genetic environment ultimately defines the permissible paths of evolution. The simple genomes of most microbial species can be understood in this context, without invoking direct selection for streamlined genomes, and direct selection for complexity need not be invoked to explain genomic expansion in multicellular species.

10:20 **Discussion**

10:30 **Coffee** Reception Room EE/CS 3-176

11:00 **Nadia El-Mabrouk**
University of Montreal Reconstructing the Ancestor of a Modern Genome with Multigene Families

Abstract: Given a particular model of evolution and an optimization criterion, the problem is to recover an ancestor of a modern genome modeled as an ordered sequence of signed genes. One direct application is to infer gene orders at the ancestral nodes of a phylogenetic tree. Implicit in the rearrangement literature is that each gene is present exactly once in each genome. This hypothesis is clearly unguaranteed for divergent species containing several copies of highly paralogous and orthologous genes. In this presentation, we consider models of genome evolution that take multigene families into account.

We first present a genome-wide doubling event. Genome duplication is an important source of new gene functions and novel physiological pathways. Originally (ancestrally), a duplicated genome contains two identical copies of each chromosome, but through genomic rearrangements, this simple doubled structure is disrupted. At the time of observation, each of the chromosomes resulting from the accumulation of rearrangements can be decomposed into a succession of conserved segments, such that each segment appears exactly twice in the genome. We present exact algorithms for reconstructing the ancestral doubled genome in linear time, minimizing the number of inversions and/or translocations required to derive the observed order of genes along the present-day chromosomes.

The second part of the presentation will concern a model of duplications at a regional level. In this model, chromosomal regions (one or more genes) are duplicated from one location of the genome to another. Studies from human genomic sequence indicate that many of these segments have been duplicatively transposed in very recent evolutionary time. The implicit hypothesis is that a genome with multigene families has an ancestor containing exactly one copy of each gene that has evolved through a series of duplication transpositions and substring inversions. We present an algorithm for reconstructing an ancestral genome giving rise to the minimal number of duplication transpositions and reversals. We then show how to use this algorithm to recover gene orders at the ancestral nodes of a phylogenetic tree.

putative nonautonomous transposons, is found in significantly more novel introns than reference introns ($P < 1e-05$). These results support the hypothesis that novel introns originate as a result of transposable element insertions into proto-splice site consensus sites in germline-expressed genes.

Joint work with Kenneth H. Wolfe (Trinity College Dublin, Ireland).

3:50 **Discussion**

4:00 **Igor V. Sharakhov** High Rates of Genome Rearrangements in Malaria
University of Notre Dame Mosquitoes, *Anopheles gambiae* and *A. funestus*

Abstract: The rates of chromosomal evolution vary among different genomic segments and eukaryotic lineages [1]. A comparative genomic study between *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Anopheles gambiae* shows extensive reshuffling of gene order within chromosomes [2]. Genus *Drosophila* has a very high rate of paracentric inversions [3]. Our study determines rates of chromosomal rearrangement in genus *Anopheles*. *Anopheles gambiae* and *A. funestus*, important vectors of malaria in tropical Africa, are in the same subgenus and diverged about as recently as humans and chimpanzees (5 million years ago) [4]. Using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), we mapped *A. funestus* cDNA clones on the five arms of the polytene chromosome complement. Of 157 cDNAs used as probes, 116 mapped to single chromosomal locations on the *A. funestus* cytogenetic map, and the remainder hybridized in multiple locations. Those 116 cDNAs were mapped in silico to the completely sequenced *A. gambiae* genome. The relative positions of sequences with unique map locations in both species support the hypothesized chromosome arm homologies and the reciprocal whole arm translocation between 2L and 3R, postulated previously on the basis of relative length and banding pattern [5]. Correspondence between chromosome arms was contradicted by only two of the cDNAs examined in this study. Within corresponding arms, paracentric inversions have had a major impact on genome architecture since the divergence of these species. Gene order has not been preserved along the length of any chromosome arm, although there are conserved segments in some regions near centromeres where the rate of meiotic recombination may be reduced. Inversions have involved large as well as relatively small chromosomal segments. One of three small inversions at the distal end of 2R includes a rearrangement involving the 8C region in *A. gambiae* that contains the major Plasmodium-refractoriness locus *Pen1* [6]. What has been the extent of rearrangement of gene order between these species? The number of inversion events can be estimated by considering the mean length of conserved segments, because this length decreases with each inversion fixed since the divergence of *A. gambiae* and *A. funestus* from a common ancestor. The method of Nadeau and Taylor [7] was applied to estimate mean lengths of all conserved segments in the genome, based on the nucleotide distance in *A. gambiae* between the outermost markers that defined the segments observed in our sample. An assumption of the method, that rearrangements fixed during evolution are randomly distributed in the genome, seems unlikely given the extraordinary concentration of polymorphic inversions on 2R in both lineages. Of eight polymorphic inversions described in *A. gambiae*, seven occur on chromosome 2R [8]. Similarly, 11 of 15 polymorphic inversions found in *A. funestus* involve 2R [9]. Accordingly, we assessed each arm independently. The estimated mean lengths of all conserved segments on each arm, defined with respect to *A. gambiae*, were X, 2.0 ± 0.2 megabases (Mb); 2R, 0.9 ± 0.2 Mb; 2L, 2.2 ± 0.4 Mb; 3R, 2.2 ± 1.0 Mb; and 3L, 1.1 ± 0.4 Mb. In a slight departure from Nadeau and Taylor [7], each rearrangement was assumed to be an inversion requiring two disruption events. Therefore, n inversions result in $2n + 1$ conserved segments. The number of inversions on each arm was 5 ± 1 , 36 ± 9 , 11 ± 3 , 11 ± 3 , and 19 ± 5 , respectively. Assuming a divergence time of 5 million years [4], the rate of fixation per My for each chromosome arm can be estimated as 0.5, 3.6, 1.1, 1.1, and 1.9, respectively (or 7 when estimated across the genome). When normalized to account for differences in chromosome length, the number of inversions per Mb per My for X, 2R, 2L, 3R, and 3L was estimated as 0.023, 0.057, 0.022, 0.021, and 0.044, respectively (0.031 genome-wide). This rate is even more extreme than the genome-wide estimate for *Drosophila* [3]. Moreover, our results indicate that 2R has a higher rate of rearrangement than other arms. It is clear that tightly linked genes in *A. gambiae* are unlikely to be similarly linked in *A. funestus*, particularly on 2R. The estimate of mean conserved segment length derived for each arm can be used to predict the probability of linkage in *A. funestus*, given the known distance between genes in *A. gambiae* and the assumption of random distribution of breakpoints [7]. As an example, the probability that genes 1 Mb apart on 2R in *A. gambiae* are linked on 2R in *A. funestus* is only 0.31. Polymorphic inversions on chromosome 2R are widespread within the *A. gambiae* and *A. funestus* and are believed to indicate adaptations to different environmental niches [8, 9]. Identification of genes encoded within these inversions could provide clues to factors determining mosquito behavior and vectorial capacity. Thus, the main features of genome rearrangements in malaria mosquitoes, *A. gambiae* and *A. funestus*, can be summarized as following: (1) the reciprocal whole arm translocation has preserved a synteny (the occurrence of genes) at the whole-arm level; (2) high rate of paracentric inversions, especially on 2R, have had a major impact on extensive gene order reshuffling.

Our results suggest that the success of positional cloning or interspecific microarray experiments may be limited to either very closely related anopheline species or small genomic fragments. Further comparative studies of these two genomes will provide valuable insights into the mechanism and effects of chromosomal rearrangements. This study was supported by grants from NIH (AI48842) to N.J.B. and from the Indiana 21st Century Research & Technology Fund to F.H.C.

References:

1. E. Eichler, D. Sankoff, Science 301, 5634 (2003).
2. E. M. Zdobnov et al., Science 298, 149 (2002).
3. J. González, J. M. Ranz, A. Ruiz, Genetics 161, 1137 (2002)
4. I. V. Sharakhov et al., Science 298, 182 (2002).
5. I. V. Sharakhov, M. V. Sharakhova, C. M. Mbogo, L. L. Koekemoer, G. Yan, Genetics 159, 211 (2001)
6. L. Zheng, et al., Science 276, 425 (1997)
7. J. H. Nadeau and B. A. Taylor, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 81, 814 (1984)
8. M. Coluzzi, A. Sabatini, V. Petrarca, M. A. Di Deco, Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 73, 483 (1979)
9. I. Dia, D. Boccolini, C. Antonio-Nkondjio, C. Costantini, D. Fontenille, Parasitologia 42, 227 (2000)

Joint work with Andrew C. Serazin (1), Olga G. Grushko (1), Ali Dana (1), Neil Lobo (1), Maureen E. Hillenmeyer (1), Richard Westerman (2), Jeanne Romero-Severson (3), Carlo Costantini (4), N’Fale Sagnon (4) Frank H. Collins (1), Nora J. Besansky (1)

(1) Center for Tropical Disease Research and Training, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556-0369, USA.
 (2) Horticulture Department, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1159, USA.
 (3) Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1165, USA.
 (4) Centre National de Recherche et de Formation sur le Paludisme, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

4:20	Discussion	
4:30	Second Chances	Speakers of the day respond to further questions, suggestions, re-frame their main points, look toward future directions.
5:00	Group Photo	
5:10	IMA Tea and more (with Poster Session)	IMA East, 400 Lind Hall
poster session	Max Alekseyev University of California, San Diego	Genome Halving Problem

Abstract: Genome Halving Problem is motivated by an evolution mechanism that duplicates the entire genome. The result of such duplication, so-called perfectly duplicated genome, contains two identical copies of each chromosome. The genome then is a subject to reversal and/or translocation rearrangement operations. For given rearranged duplicated genome, Genome Halving Problem attempts to recover its closest perfectly duplicated ancestor. Solution to this problem is used as a building block for more sophisticated genome rearrangement algorithms.

Genome Halving Problem was first introduced and solved in a series of papers by Nadia El-Mabrouk and David Sankoff. Their algorithm is rather complex and, to the best of our knowledge, it was never implemented as a computer program. In our work we present a new simpler and more general algorithm for Genome Halving Problem as well as its implementation in C++.

Joint with Pavel Pevzner, University of California, San Diego.

poster session **Lars Arvestad** New Methods for Estimating Amino Acid Replacement Rates
 Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)

Abstract: Two new methods for estimating replacement rate matrices from protein sequence alignments are presented and shown to perform better than another recent method, Müller-Vingron's resolvent method, in a variety of settings. Furthermore, the best method is demonstrated to be robust on small datasets and practical also on very large datasets of real data. Neither short nor divergent sequence pairs have to be discarded, making the method economical with data.

poster session **Anne Bergeron**
 LaCIM, Université du Québec à
 Montréal, Canada

Abstract: See <http://www.ima.umn.edu/complex/abstracts/bergeron/Poster.pdf>.

poster session **Steven B. Cannon** Distinguishing Orthologs from Paralogs by Integrating
 University of Minnesota Comparative Genome Data and Gene Phylogenies

Abstract: Background: In eukaryotic genomes, most genes are members of gene families. When comparing genes from two species, therefore, most genes in one species will be homologous to multiple genes in the second. This often makes it difficult to distinguish orthologs (separated through speciation) from paralogs (separated by other types of gene duplication). Combining phylogenetic relationships and genomic position in both genomes helps to distinguish between these scenarios. This kind of comparison can also help to describe how gene families have evolved within a single genome that has undergone polyploidy or other large-scale duplications, as in the case of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and probably most plant genomes.

Results: We describe a suite of programs called OrthoParaMap that makes genomic comparisons, identifies syntenic regions, determines whether sets of genes in a gene family are related through speciation or internal chromosomal duplications, maps this information onto phylogenetic trees, and infers internal nodes within the phylogenetic tree that may represent local as opposed to speciation or segmental duplication. We describe the application of the software using three examples: the melanoma-associated antigen (MAGE) gene family on the X chromosomes of mouse and human; the 20S proteasome subunit gene family in *Arabidopsis*, and the major latex protein gene family in *Arabidopsis*.

Conclusion: OrthoParaMap combines comparative genomic positional information and phylogenetic reconstructions to identify which gene duplications are likely to have arisen through internal genomic duplications (such as polyploidy), through speciation, or through local duplications (such as unequal crossing-over). The software is freely available at <http://www.tc.umn.edu/~cann0010/Software.html>.

Joint work with Georgiana May (Plant Biology Department and Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior Department, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA) and Nevin D. Young (Plant Biology Department and Plant Pathology Department, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA).

poster session **Dimitra Chalkia** Phylogenetic Analysis of Formin Homology Proteins in
 The Pennsylvania State University *Arabidopsis Thaliana* and *Oryza Sativa*

Abstract: The plant cell cytoskeleton plays an important role in many cellular processes, including cell polarity establishment and cytokinesis. Proteins that regulate cytoskeletal assembly are likely to be a part of the signaling cascade that governs plant cell morphogenesis. Formins are members of a large protein family that is defined by the presence of the highly conserved Formin Homology II (FH2) domain. In a wide range of organisms, including vertebrates, arthropods, nematodes and fungi, formins have been implicated in the regulation of cytoskeletal assembly and in the control of cytokinesis and cell polarity establishment and maintenance. The genomes of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Oryza sativa* contain putative formin-like proteins based on the presence of an FH2 domain. *Arabidopsis thaliana* formins have been tentatively sub-divided into two clades: Type I and Type II, based on the FH2 domain alignment. We have extended this analysis to cover both *Arabidopsis* and rice and have provided an evolutionary context for these plant formin families. Our phylogenetic analysis shows that formins are divided in two distinct clades in plants. This phylogenetic clustering is also supported by the structural features of these proteins. This division of plant formins in two distinctive groups seems to predate the split of monocots/eudicots. The detailed evolutionary relationships of plant formins remain unclear. The placement of fungi formins at the basal position of the tree is in accordance with the most recent proposed phylogenetic scheme for eukaryotes. Animal and plant formins cluster together, and split into two major groups. This clustering may suggest that

their last common ancestor had already at least two different types of formins.

Joint work with Tatiana Bibikova, Simon Gilroy, Wojciech Makalowski.

poster session **Jack R. Collins** Comparative Genomic Analysis as a Tool to Elucidate Eu-
National Cancer Institute/SAIC- karyotic Chromosomal Structure and Function
Frederick

Abstract: The release of several nearly-complete eukaryotic genomes has provided a massive data set for analysis in terms of elucidation of the sets of genes which they encode and the mechanisms by which those genes are regulated. However, it has become apparent that programs that predict gene structure, organization and regulation can be aided appreciably with the use of information from multiple organisms. We have applied cross-species comparative analysis between human, mouse, and rat to the identify and characterize relatively closely spaced chromosomally duplicated regions (segmental duplications) as well as putative regulatory regions. In the case of the chromosomally duplicated regions, we have taken a gene centric view of these regions, specifically providing insights into genes likely to become aberrantly expressed by either duplication or deletion events in each of the species. These regions have been analyzed in terms of their correlation with other genomic features such as gene density, common repeat family content and several other features. For the putative regulatory region analysis, a database has been constructed in which transcription factor binding sites, stem-loops, overrepresented oligonucleotides, SNPs and other genomic features can be compared amongst any of the genes mapped in common between the three genomes. This analysis has identified both conserved transcription factor binding site patterns as well as additional conserved regions which may be involved in gene regulatory events important in coordinating the accurate temporal and spatial expression patterns observed during the course of development. The implications of including more genomes (as they become available) in these types of analyses in the understanding of biological mechanisms involved in disease, especially cancer, will also be discussed.

Joint work with Robert M. Stephen, Advanced Biomedical Computing Center, National Cancer Institute/SAIC-Frederick, Frederick, MD 21702 (bobs@ncifcrf.gov, collinsj@ncifcrf.gov).

poster session **Ramana V. Davuluri** Mammalian Promoter Database: A Computational Plat-
The Ohio State University form for Comparative Genomics of Mammalian Tran-
 scriptional Regulation

Abstract: Transcription in mammalian cells is a highly complex process that involves multiple layers of general and gene-specific transcription factors. Although extensive molecular research has been providing important details about several transcription factors and their binding sites in the target gene promoters, the information generated over the years is highly fragmented. In order to better integrate this vast amount of information with the genome sequences, we have developed a new database called MPromDb (Mammalian Promoter Database), an information resource of mammalian gene regulatory regions. MPromDb (Version 1.0) contains 28,306 experimentally supported and 32,121 computationally annotated promoters, and mapping of 4,231 experimentally known binding sites, with links to published literature. Each promoter sequence in MPromDb is presented in the form of an image map with annotations of first exon, cis-regulatory elements and plots of CpG scores, with interactive contextual menus for easy navigation. MPromDb provides a platform for comparative genomics of transcriptional regulation, since promoters of orthologous genes are linked with each other and displayed in the same record. The current version contains 9,331 human-mouse orthologous pairs. The database can be searched for promoter sequences, transcription factors, and their direct target genes, through a user-friendly web interface at <http://bioinformatics.med.ohio-state.edu/MPromDb>.

Joint work with Hao Sun, Saranyan K. Palaniswamy, Twyla T. Pohar, and Victor Jin (Human Cancer Genetics Program, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Department of Molecular Virology, Immunology & Medical Genetics, The Ohio State University, 420 W 12th Avenue, TMRF 524, Columbus, OH 43210, USA).

poster session **Anant Godbole** Distributional Approximations in Genome Reconstruction
East Tennessee State University

Abstract: See <http://www.ima.umn.edu/complex/abstracts/godbole/godbole-abstract.pdf>

poster session **Steve Goldstein** Graph Compression Algorithms for Efficiently Compar-
University of Wisconsin and OpGen ing Genomes

Abstract: Optical Mapping is a system capable of producing genome-wide ordered restriction maps. Such a restriction map provides a description of an organism's genome, a description not unlike the sequence of the genome, albeit at a coarser resolution. Just as comparisons of whole genome sequences are leading to an exciting array of biological advances, comparisons of optical maps will provide a wealth of valuable information.

Now that optical mapping has entered the high-throughput era, there is a need for software to compare restriction maps of closely related organisms. We present an algorithmic framework for this task, closely modeled after DNA sequence comparison algorithms. The major challenge lies in adapting the exact matching phase of the sequence algorithms to handle the imprecision inherent in determining restriction fragment lengths. Our graph-based approach not only overcomes this challenge, but also can be applied to sequence algorithms, providing advantages over suffix-tree approaches.

poster session **Josefa González** Duplicative and Conservative Transpositions of the Larval
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona Serum Protein 1 Genes in the Genus *Drosophila*

Abstract: In the genus *Drosophila*, homologous chromosomal elements show a remarkable conservation of gene content but not of gene order, indicating that paracentric inversions are the most common kind of genomic change. Detailed physical maps of chromosomes X, 2 and 4 of *Drosophila repleta* and *D. buzzatii*, both belonging to the *Drosophila* subgenus, were constructed and their gene rearrangements compared with the homologous chromosomes in *D. melanogaster*. We estimated that 393 paracentric inversions have been fixed in the whole genome since the divergence between *D. repleta* and *D. melanogaster*, that amounts to an average rate of 0.053 disruptions/Mb/myr. Only two exceptions to the chromosomal homologies were found and we have further analyzed one of them: the transposition of the Larval serum protein 1 (Lsp1) genes. Comparative molecular analysis of the transposed genes and their flanking regions can help to elucidate the time, direction and mechanism of gene transposition. In the *D. melanogaster* genome, three Lsp1 genes, alpha, beta and gamma, are present and each is located on a different chromosome. We have characterized the molecular organization of Lsp1 genes in *D. buzzatii* and in *D. pseudoobscura*, a species of the *Sophophora* subgenus. Our results show that only two Lsp1 genes (beta and gamma) exist in these two species suggesting that the duplicative transposition generating Lsp1alpha, took place ≈ 30 myr ago in the *D. melanogaster* lineage. *D. buzzatii* and *D. pseudoobscura* show the same chromosomal localization and genomic organization, different from that of *D. melanogaster* for the Lsp1beta and Lsp1gamma genes. Thus we conclude that this is likely to be the ancestral organization and both genes must have conservatively transposed in the *D. melanogaster* lineage ≈ 30 myr ago. Finally, the duplicative transposition which gave rise to Lsp1beta and Lsp1gamma must have occurred before the divergence of the three *Drosophila* species (40-62 myr ago). Overall, at least two duplicative and two conservative transpositions are necessary to explain the present chromosomal distribution of Lsp1 genes in the three *Drosophila* species. In *D. buzzatii* and *D. pseudoobscura*, Lsp1beta and Lsp1gamma are localized close to snRNA or tRNA genes. RNA genes have been implied in the origin of chromosomal rearrangements in prokaryotes and yeasts and we find clear evidence for a role of snRNA genes in the transposition of Lsp1beta genes in *Drosophila*. Analysis of the 5' non coding regions of the Lsp1beta and Lsp1gamma genes has led to identify the putative cis-acting regulatory regions of these genes which seemingly transposed along with the coding sequences.

Joint work with Ferran Casals and Alfredo Ruiz Departament de Genètica i Microbiologia. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193, Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.

poster session **Tzvika Hartman** A Simpler 1.5-Approximation Algorithm for Sorting by
Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Transpositions
Israel

Abstract: In this work we study the problem of sorting by transpositions. First, we prove that the problem of sorting circular permutations by transpositions is equivalent to the problem of sorting linear ones. Hence, all algorithms for sorting linear permutations by transpositions can be used to sort circular permutations. Then, we derive our main result: A new quadratic 1.5-approximation algorithm, which is considerably simpler than the extant algorithms of Bafna and Pevzner (1998) and Christie (1999). Thus, the algorithm achieves running time which is equal to the best known, with the advantage of being much simpler. Moreover, the analysis of the algorithm is significantly less involved, and provides a good starting point for studying related open problems.

Joint work with Ron Shamir School of Computer Science, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel.

poster session **Robert (Bob) Mau** Inferring Orthologous Regions via a Pseudo-Gibbs Sam-
University of Wisconsin-Madison pler: Finding the Pieces of the Rearrangement Puzzle

Abstract: See <http://www.ima.umn.edu/complex/abstracts/mau/poster.pdf>.

poster session **Daniel P. Miranker** Application of MoBioS for Conserved Primer Pair Discovery
University of Texas - Austin

Abstract: MoBioS, a Molecular Biological Information System is a next generation database management system focused on scalable retrieval and mining of unorthodox biological data types that are poorly supported by relational database systems. MoBioS comprises built-in data types for biological sequences and Mass Spectra. The MoBioS storage manager extends traditional database systems by including built-in support for hierarchical clustering and nearest-neighbor and range search in metric spaces. In addition to built-in metrics to support sequence homology and protein identification, users may add their own metrics.

We report on the first biological application of MoBioS; a comparative study of the entire genomes of the plants rice and Arabidopsis to determine conserved pairs of strings of DNA that could be used to prime polymerase chain reactions (PCRs). Identification of such set of paired conserved primers would allow amplification of evolutionarily homologous DNA regions in a taxonomically broad set of seed plants. The ability to amplify homologous regions in a widely divergent set of species has a number of applications, e.g., phylogenetic reconstruction and comparison of protein evolution in a broad set of organisms. Ultimately, this approach to identifying conserved primer pairs could provide the community of systematists with a universal set of DNA sequences that can be used for assembling the tree of life.

Joint work with Weijia Xu, Wenguo Liu and C. Randal Linder.

poster session **William J. Murphy** Reconstructing the Genomic Architecture of Mammalian Ancestors Using Multispecies Comparative Maps
National Cancer Institute-Frederick

Abstract: Rapidly developing comparative maps in selected mammal species are providing an opportunity to reconstruct the genomic architecture of mammalian ancestors and study rearrangements that transformed this ancestral genome into existing mammalian genomes. Here we apply the recently developed Multiple Genome Rearrangement algorithm (MGR) to human, mouse, cat and cattle comparative maps (with 311-470 shared markers) to impute the ancestral mammalian genome. Reconstructed ancestors consist of 70-100 conserved segments shared across the genomes that have been exchanged by rearrangement events along the ordinal lineages leading to modern species genomes. Genomic distances between species, dominated by inversions (reversals) and translocations, are presented in a first multispecies attempt using ordered mapping data to reconstruct the evolutionary exchanges that preceded modern placental mammal genomes.

Joint work with Guillaume Bourque (Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal Montréal, Canada H3C 3J7), Glenn Tesler and Pavel Pevzner (Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California, San Diego La Jolla, California 92093-0114), and Stephen J. O'Brien (Laboratory of Genomic Diversity, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland 21702).

poster session **Nikolas Nikolaidis** Evolution of the Hsp70 Gene Superfamily in Two Sibling Species of Nematodes *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *C. briggsae*
Pennsylvania State University

Abstract: The Hsp70 gene superfamily of *C. briggsae* was characterized in an attempt to investigate the evolutionary relationships with the respective one of its sibling species *C. elegans*. The phylogenetic analyses included also genes from *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to clarify the long-term evolution of hsp70s. The Hsp70s are classified into three monophyletic groups according to their sub-cellular localization, namely, cytoplasm (CYT), endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria (MT). The Hsp110 genes can be classified into the polyphyletic CYT group and the monophyletic ER group. The two nematode species encode two Hsp70 and two Hsp110 proteins localized in the ER and their highly heat-inducible genes contain introns. The different Hsp70 and Hsp110 groups appear to evolve following the model of independent or divergent evolution. These models can also explain the evolution of the ER and MT genes. On the other hand, the CYT genes are divided into heat-inducible and constitutively expressed genes. The constitutively expressed genes probably have evolved by the birth-and-death process and the rates of gene birth-and-death are different among all organisms studied. The heat-inducible genes show an intra-species phylogenetic clustering, suggesting sequence homogenization, probably by gene conversion-like events. In addition, these genes show high levels of sequence conservation in both intra- and inter-species comparisons, and in most comparisons the amino acid sequence similarity was higher than the nucleotide. These results suggest that purifying selection also played a crucial role in sequence conservation of the Hsp70s. Therefore, we suggest that the CYT heat-inducible genes have apparently followed a mixed evolutionary pattern

with a combination of purifying selection, birth and death, and gene conversion-like events.

Joint work with Masatoshi Nei.

poster session **Ron Y. Pinter** Evaluating a Class of Length-Sensitive Algorithms for
Technion, Israel Institute of Technology Sorting by Reversal

Abstract: Traditionally, comparative genomic studies that are based on sorting by reversal (SBR) use the unit cost model (merely counting the number of reversals) to measure the distance between genomes. In [1] we have introduced a length-sensitive model in which the cost $f(x)$ of each reversal depends on the length, x , of the reversed sequence; the overall cost of the SBR process is the total of the individual reversals costs. Initially we looked at $f(x)=x$, but following feedback we received from plant biologists we studied the cost $f(x)=x^{*a}$. We have a class of algorithms [2] that find an approximate cost (finding the minimal cost is NP-hard) for any positive value of the exponent a , but the question of which value of a is best is of great interest.

We decided to evaluate this by using the cost as a distance that is fed to a tool that builds phylogenetic trees and compare the results to evolutionary trees found using other methods. This gives rise to numerous issues, such as finding a good match score between trees that is appropriate to this study, how many common genes are necessary, if the number of common genes for the whole sample is too low - how do we put together partial results (i.e. combining trees that were built on subsets of sample) and how small can the subsets be, how to “learn” the best value of a , etc. The poster will describe the method and the results on two data sets and discuss their merits.

[1] Ron Y. Pinter and Steven Skiena. Genomic Sorting with Length-Weighted Reversals. *Genome Informatics* 13: 103-111 (2002).

[2] Michael A. Bender, Dongdong Ge, Simai He, Haodong Hu, Ron Y. Pinter, Steven Skiena, and Firas Swidan. Improved Bounds on Sorting with Length-Weighted Reversals. To appear in the Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'04), January 2004.

poster session **Amal A. Shervington** Induced CYP1A1 Gene Expression in Lung Cancer Cell
University of Central Lancashire Lines

Abstract: The gene CYP1A1 (cytochrome P450, family A polypeptide 1), encodes a member of the cytochrome P450 superfamily of enzymes. The cytochrome P450 proteins are monooxygenases that catalyze numerous reactions involved in drug metabolism and synthesis of cholesterol, steroids and lipids. The enzyme is reported to be present predominantly in extrahepatic tissues in humans and in experimental animals (1). CYP1A1 is of toxicological importance because it catalyses the bioactivation of polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PHA) constituents e.g. Benzo[a]pyrene and other combustion products abundant in tobacco smoke to mutagens and carcinogens (2).

Several studies of the oncogenic significance of CYP1A1 have found correlation between inducibility of the enzyme and lung cancer susceptibility in smokers (3). The expression and activity of CYP1A1 were examined using either peripheral blood lymphocytes as surrogate for lung cancer tissue (3) or lung biopsy specimens from human subjects. CYP1A1 transcripts were detected in lung cancer tissue either by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) or northern blot hybridization (4).

In our laboratory we used four different lung cell lines: A549 Adenocarcinoma; H460 large cell carcinoma; COR-L23/5010 drug resistance large cell carcinoma and CCD-32Lu normal lung cells as a control. We measured the level of CYP1A1 transcript using the LightCycler (quantitative PCR). mRNA extracted from 106 cells using mRNA capture kit (Roche) were used to generate cDNA by Reverse Transcription System (Roche) with CYP1A1 primers (designed using primer3 web site) and amplified by the LightCycler using CYP1A1

The size of the CYP1A1 amplicon expected were 166bp, which was expressed at a highly induced level in the A549 Adenocarcinoma and to a less extent in the H460 large cell carcinoma. Very faint bands can be seen in L23/5010 drug resistance large cell carcinoma. No CYP1A1 can be detected in the normal lung cells. An amplicon of 300bp was amplified only in the control and not in the cancerous cell lines. Further work is required to characterise the 300bp band and to identify its significance.

Our results have shown an induced level of CYP1A1 in the adenocarcinoma cell line which is absent from the control, indicating that CYP1A1 is expressed at elevated level in some cancer cell line but not in the control.

Numerous citation have emphasised on the induction level of CYP1A1 in peripheral blood lymphocytes and lung cancer tissue but there have been no or few reports on the level of CYP1A1 in established cancer such as cancerous cell lines. Our

4:30 **Second Chances** Speakers of the day respond to further questions, suggestions, re-frame their main points, look toward future directions.

12:45-2:15 pm **Random Matrices** This course (Math 8660) meets in Physics 133
G. Anderson and O. Zeitouni

6:00 pm **Workshop Dinner** Gardens of Salonica
19 Fifth Street NE, Minneapolis, Tel (612) 378-0611.

Friday, October 24

All talks are in Lecture Hall EE/CS 3-180 unless otherwise noted.

9:00 **Coffee** Reception Room EE/CS 3-176

9:30 **Kenneth H. Wolfe** Genome Evolution and Sorting Out Ancient Polyploidy in
University of Dublin, Trinity College Yeasts

Abstract: Yeasts are a good model system for investigating gene order and chromosomal evolution because their genomes are compact and relatively easy in a metabolic pathway was put together during the evolution of species that can grow vigorously without oxygen.

10:20 **Discussion**

10:30 **Coffee Break** Reception Room EE/CS 3-176

11:00 **Jens Lagergren** Bayesian Gene/Species Tree Reconciliation and Orthology
SBC (Stockholm Bioinformatics Analysis Using MCMC
Center), & KTH (Kunliga Tekniska
Högskolan)

Abstract: Comparative genomics in general and orthology analysis in particular are becoming increasingly important parts of gene function prediction. Previously, orthology analysis and reconciliation has been performed only with respect to the parsimony model. This discards many plausible solutions and sometimes precludes finding the correct one. In many other areas in bioinformatics probabilistic models have proven to be both more realistic and powerful than parsimony models.

We introduce a probabilistic gene evolution model based on a birth-death process in which a gene tree evolves “inside” a species tree. Based on this model, we develop a tool with the capacity to perform practical orthology analysis, based on Fitch’s original definition, and more generally for reconciling pairs of gene and species trees. Our gene evolution model is biologically sound and intuitively attractive. We develop a Bayesian analysis based on MCMC which facilitates approximation of an a posteriori distribution for reconciliations. That is, we can find the most probable reconciliations and estimate the probability of any reconciliation, given the observed gene tree. This also gives a way to estimate the probability that a pair of genes are orthologs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first successful introduction of this type of probabilistic methods, which flourish in phylogeny analysis, into reconciliation and orthology analysis.

The MCMC algorithm has been implemented and performs very well on synthetic as well as biological data. Using standard correspondences, our results carry over to allele trees as well as biogeography.

11:50	Discussion	
12:00	Lunch Break	
1:30	Evan Eichler Case Western Reserve University	Recent Segmental Duplications and the Fragile Breakage Model of Human Genome Evolution

Abstract: It has been estimated that 5% of the human genome consists of interspersed duplicated material that has arisen over the last 30-40 million years of evolution. A large proportion of these duplications exhibits an extraordinarily high degree of sequence identity at the nucleotide level (>95%) and are interspersed over large genomic distances (>1 Mb). The distribution of these duplications is non-random in the human genome. Through processes of non-allelic homologous recombination, these same regions are targets for rapid evolutionary turnover creating hotspots of mammalian chromosomal evolution and sites of genomic instability associated with disease within the human population. Preliminary analyses have suggested that the amount of segmental duplication may be a relatively unique property of our genome. We have developed systematic experimental and computational tools to examine duplication content from human and other sequenced vertebrate species. An analysis of the breakpoints of these duplications shows a significant enrichment of Alu-repeat elements, providing new insight into their mechanism of origin and preeminence within the primate genome. In additions based on our analysis of syntenic breakpoints between the mouse and human genome, we find that 25% (122/461) of mouse-human synteny breakpoints contain 10 kb of duplicated sequence. This association is highly significant ($P < 0.0001$) when compared to a simulated random breakage model. These data support a non-random model of chromosomal evolution that implicates a predominance of both small-scale duplication and large-scale evolutionary rearrangements within specific regions of the human genome. Such properties should be considered when trying to reconstruct the evolutionary history of mammalian genomes.

2:20	Discussion	
2:30	Coffee Break	Reception Room EE/CS 3-176
3:00	Second Chances	Speakers of the day respond to further questions, suggestions, re-frame their main points, look toward future directions.
3:30	Concluding Remarks by Organizers	
3:40	End of Workshop	

Monday, October 27

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

Tuesday, October 28

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

IMA POSTDOC SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

11:15-12:15 **Mohammad Kazim Khan** On SPRT and CUSUM Procedures and Some Open Problems
Kent State University

Abstract: Let $Y_1, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\nu-1}$ be iid random variables with a distribution function (df) $F_0(y)$, and let $Y_\nu, Y_{\nu+1}, \dots$ be iid random variables with a df $F_1(y)$, where ν is an unknown time index of a change in distribution with the change in parametric value. For a suitable function ψ let $X_j = \psi(Y_j)$ denote some convenient data reduction or it may be defined by certain optimality consideration such as the Sequential Probability Ratio Test. For detecting a change-point in the distribution, Page (1954) defined his famous cusum (cumulative sum) procedure. From the inherent renewal property of the cusum, Page noted that $EN = EM/P(S_M \geq h)$, where N is the cusum stopping rule and M is the SPRT and S_n represents the partial sum of the information X_1, X_2, \dots . The constant h is the triggering constant for the cusum. This link between the SPRT and the cusum is quite useful in approximating and/or evaluating EN . However, a deeper connection between N and M is known that I will try to present. The purpose of this exposition is to further exploit such a relationship between N and M to study the properties of some one sided and two sided cusums with several applicable examples. We will see how exact results can be computed in discrete time settings. There are some open problems which I will outline.

The IMA Postdoc Seminar is organized by Balaji Gopalakrishnan and Antar Bandyopadhyay.

12:45-2:15 pm **Random Matrices** This course (Math 8660) meets in Physics 133
G. Anderson and O. Zeitouni

Wednesday, October 29

The 10:30 IMA break will be in Lind Hall 400.

BROWN BAG SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

12:00 **Huiqiang Jiang** Singular Elliptic Equation in Thin Film Rupture
University of Minnesota

Abstract: We consider nonnegative solutions of a singular elliptic equation, which arise in thin film rupture and minimal surface theory. We get a general estimate of the size of singular (zero) set. And other results and open problems will also be discussed.

COMPLEX SYSTEMS SEMINAR, Lind Hall 409:

3:30 pm **Shmuel Friedland** Missing Value Estimation Methods for DNA Microarrays
University of Illinois at Chicago

Abstract: In this talk we first survey the known methods for estimation for missing values in gene microarrays:

1. Row average.
2. Clustering methods.
3. Singular value decomposition (principal-component analysis).

The draw back of these methods is that the recovery of the missing data is done independently, i.e. the completion of each missing value does not influence the completion of other values.

Next we discuss a new method for a completion of missing values in which the completion is done simultaneously. It is inspired by the methods for solutions of the inverse eigenvalue problems.

PART III: CURRENT IMA PARTICIPANTS

FIRST YEAR POSTDOCTORAL MEMBERS

NAME	PREVIOUS INSTITUTION
Gerard Awanou	University of Georgia
Karen Ball	Indiana University
Antar Bandyopadhyay	UC Berkeley
Tim Garoni	University of Melbourne
Chuan-Hsiang Han	North Carolina State University
Lea Popovic	UC Berkeley

SECOND YEAR POSTDOCTORAL MEMBERS

NAME	PREVIOUS INSTITUTION
Olga Brezhneva	Russian Academy of Sci.
Lisa Evans	Georgia Tech
Balaji Gopalakrishnan	Georgia Tech
Herve Kerivin	University Blaise Pascal-France
Tamon Stephen	University of Michigan
Jing Wang	University of Minnesota

POSTDOCTORAL MEMBERS IN INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS

NAME	PREVIOUS INSTITUTION	INDUSTRIAL AFFILIATION
Yusuf Altundas	University of Pittsburgh	Schlumberger
Lili Ju	Iowa State University	VA Hospital
Haewon Nam	Texas A & M University	GE
Jun Zhao	Texas A & M University	Schlumberger

LONG TERM VISITORS

NAME	HOME INSTITUTION
Soohan Ahn	Seoul National University (SRCCS)
Montaz Ali	Witwatersrand University
Greg Anderson	University of Minnesota
Maury Bramson	University of Minnesota
Laura Chihara	Carleton College
Shmuel Friedland	University of Illinois - Chicago
Naresh Jain	University of Minnesota
Christina Kendziorski	University of Wisconsin - Madison
Mohammad Kazim Khan	Kent State University
Dohyun Kim	Seoul National University (SRCCS)
Thomas G. Kurtz	University of Wisconsin - Madison
Taerim Lee	Korea National Open University
Richard P. McGehee	University of Minnesota
Michael Newton	University of Wisconsin - Madison
Amir Niknejad	University of Illinois - Chicago
Greg Rempala	University of Louisville
Arnd Scheel	University of Minnesota
Simon Tavaré	University of Southern California
Stephen J. Willson	Iowa State University
Yuhong Yang	Iowa State University
Ofer Zeitouni	University of Minnesota

VISITORS IN RESIDENCE (as of 29 September 2003)

Lars Arvestad	Universitet of Stockholm	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Pierre Baldi	U of California - Irvine	10/20/03 – 10/23/03
Anne Bergeron	Universite du Quebec a Montreal	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
Ann-Charlotte Berglund	Stockholm U	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Dimitris Bertsimas	MIT	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Guillaume Bourque	Universite de Montreal	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Fiona S. Brinkman	Simon Fraser U	10/22/03 – 10/24/03
Steven Cannon	U of Minnesota	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Alberto Caprara	DEIS, U of Bologna	10/18/03 – 10/22/03
Dimitra Chalkia	Pennsylvania State U	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Avril Coghlan	Trinity College Dublin	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Jack R. Collins	National Cancer Institute	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Indraneel Das	United Technologies Research	10/09/03 – 10/10/03
Ramana V. Davuluri	Ohio State U	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Dannie Durand	Carnegie Mellon	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Evan Eichler	Case Western Reserve U	10/22/03 – 10/24/03
Nadia El-Mabrouk	Universite de Montreal	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Scott C. Fahrenkrug	U of Minnesota	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Allan Force	Virginia Mason Research Center	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State U	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Josefa Gonzalez	Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona	10/18/03 – 10/25/03
Lawrence F Gray	U of Minnesota	10/11/03 – 10/12/03
Roderic Guigo	Inst. Municipal de Investigacion Medica	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Michael Hallett	McGill U	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Tzvika Hartman	Weizmann Institute of Science	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Dirk Holste	MIT	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Elizabeth Housworth	Indiana U	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Richard D. James	Heriot-Watt U	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Sabera Kazi	Honeywell	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Jon Kettenring	Bell Communications Research	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Martin Kreitman	U of Chicago	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Jens Lagergren	Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Bret Larget	U of Wisconsin - Madison	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Emmanuelle Lerat	Universite Lyon 1	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
C. Randal Linder	U of Texas - Austin	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
Bill Long	Cray, Inc.	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Michael Lynch	U of Indiana	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Wojciech Makalowski	Pennsylvania State U	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Bob Mau	U of Wisconsin - Madison	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
Georgiana May	U of Minnesota	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Aoife Mclysaght	Trinity College Dublin	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Joao Meidanis	U of Campinas	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
Julia Mixtacki	Universität Bielefeld	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Bernard Moret	U of New Mexico	10/19/03 – 10/23/03
James C. Mullikin	National Institutes of Health	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Bill Murphy	National Cancer Institute	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Gene Myers	Celera Genomics Corporation	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Luay Nakhleh	U of Texas - Austin	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Nikolas Nikolaidis	Pennsylvania State U	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Stephen J. O'Brien	National Cancer Institute	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Ross Overbeek	Argonne National Laboratory	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Wei Pan	U of Minnesota	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Nikos Paragios	Siemens Corporate Research	10/30/03 – 10/31/03
Pavel Pevzner	U of California - San Diego	10/19/03 – 10/24/03

Ron Y. Pinter	Technion, Israel Institute of Technology	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Juan F. Poyatos	Spanish National Cancer Centre (CNIO)	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
Raj Rajagopal	3M	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
David Sankoff	U of Ottawa	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Jeanette Schmidt	Incyte Genomics, Inc.	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Jennifer Seffernick	U of Minnesota	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Igor V. Sharakhov	U of Notre Dame	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Amal Shervington	U of Central Lancashire	10/19/03 – 10/26/03
Christopher Stark	National Science Foundation	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Jens Stoye	Universität Bielefeld	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Dharmashankar Subramanian	Honeywell	10/17/03 – 10/17/03
De Witt L. Sumners	Florida State U	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
William W. Symes	Rice U	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Eitan Tadmor	U of Maryland	10/11/03 – 10/12/03
Jijun Tang	U of New Mexico	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Eric Tannier	INRIA	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Glenn Tesler	U of California - San Diego	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Peter Tiffin	U of Minnesota	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Elisabeth Tillier	Princess Margaret Hospital	10/18/03 – 10/22/03
Ali Tofigh	Kungliga Tekniska Hogskolan	10/19/03 – 10/25/03
Philippe Tondeur	U of Illinois - Urbana-Champaign	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Vamsi Veeramachaneni	Pennsylvania State U	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Li-San Wang	U of Texas - Austin	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Tandy Warnow	U of Texas - Austin	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Derek E. Wildman	Wayne State U	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Ruth Williams	U of California - San Diego	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Tiffani L. Williams	U of New Mexico	10/18/03 – 10/24/03
Ken Wolfe	Trinity College Dublin	10/20/03 – 10/24/03
Stacia K. Wyman	U of Texas - Austin	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Weijia Xu	U of Texas - Austin	10/19/03 – 10/24/03
Mihalis Yannakakis	Stanford U	10/10/03 – 10/12/03
Weixiong Zhang	Washington U - St. Louis	10/22/03 – 10/24/03
Yongqing Zhang	U of Minnesota	10/19/03 – 10/24/03

See also URL: <http://www.ima.umn.edu/people/>