

# THE FORCE ON A LATTICE DEFECT IN AN ELASTIC BODY \*

R.C. Batra  
Department of Engineering Mechanics  
University of Missouri-Rolla  
Rolla, MO 65401-0249

## ABSTRACT

It is shown that the force on a lattice defect in an elastic body is, like the force on a disclination in a nematic liquid crystal, a real force which, for equilibrium, must be balanced by an external force applied to the closed surface enclosing the defect.

## INTRODUCTION

We refer to an imperfection such as an interstitial and impurity atom, vacant lattice site or a dislocation as a lattice defect. Nabarro [1] defines the force on a segment of dislocation in an elastic solid so that the energy which could in principle be extracted by letting the segment undergo a small displacement is the scalar product of the force and the displacement. A force on a lattice defect, an inter-phase interface or a crack tip can be similarly defined. Mathematically, the force  $\underline{F}$  on a defect presently located at the position  $\underline{y}$  in an elastic body may be expressed as (Eshelby [2])

$$\underline{F} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{y}} (E_{\text{int}} + E_{\text{ext}}) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $E_{\text{int}}$  and  $E_{\text{ext}}$  equal, respectively, the strain energy of the body and the potential energy of surface tractions acting on its boundary. For a linear elastic body, the strain energy density at a screw or edge dislocation approaches infinity [3]. Similar behavior of the strain energy density may occur at a defect in a nonlinear elastic body. This necessitates the modification of equation (1.1) to the following equation

---

\* To appear in the J. Elasticity.

$$\tilde{F} = -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (E_{int}^* + E_{ext}) \quad (1.2)$$

$E_{int}^*$  is the strain energy of the same elastic body except that it now has a hypothetical spherical hole (cylindrical tube in 2-dimensional problems) of radius  $\epsilon$  centered at  $y$ . In computing  $E_{int}^*$  the deformation field used is the same as that employed to find  $E_{int}$ .

Eshelby [2] showed that the force on a defect in an elastic body can be expressed as the integral of an elastic energy-momentum tensor over a surface embracing the defect. He calls this force a configurational force in order to distinguish it from an ordinary force which can be directly balanced by a weight or spring. In a rather recent paper, Eshelby [4] has proved that the energy-momentum tensor appropriate for finding the force on a disclination in a nematic liquid crystal is, to within an unimportant hydrostatic pressure, the same as the Ericksen stress tensor [5] which gives the traction that the fluid exerts on a surface element in it. This implies that the supposedly configurational force on a disinclination in a nematic liquid crystal is in fact a real force exerted on the core of the disinclination by the surrounding medium. Here we show that the configurational force on a defect in a nonlinear elastic solid is also a true force exerted on the core of the defect by the surrounding medium. This force can be calculated either by integrating the surface tractions acting on the core of the defect or by integrating a quantity, that resembles the energy-momentum tensor of Eshelby, over a closed surface embracing the defect. In a way, we clarify the various terms to be used in Eshelby's energy-momentum tensor for the nonlinear elastic solid.

PRELIMINARIES

We use a fixed set of rectangular Cartesian coordinate axes and denote the position of a material particle in the reference configuration by  $\chi^K$  ( $K = 1,2,3$ ) and the present position of the same material particle in the current configuration by  $x^i$  ( $i = 1,2,3$ ). Then

$$x^i = x^i(\chi^K) \tag{2.1}$$

or its inverse

$$\chi^K = \chi^K(x^i) \tag{2.2}$$

describes the deformation of the body. Here we assume that relations (2.1) and (2.2) are invertible except possibly in the neighborhood of a defect, if any, in the body. We use below

$$a^k_{\quad i} = \chi^K_{,\ i} \equiv \frac{\partial \chi^K}{\partial x^i}, \tag{2.3}$$

the inverse of the deformation gradient

$$F^i_{\quad K} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \chi^K}, \tag{2.4}$$

rather than  $\underline{F}$  to describe the deformation in the neighborhood of a material point.

Let the body occupy a 3-dimensional, bounded and smooth region  $\Omega$  in the present configuration and  $W(\underline{G}(\underline{x}), \underline{\chi}(\underline{x}))$  denote the strain energy density per unit present volume of the material point  $\underline{\chi}$  currently situated at the place  $\underline{x}$ . We note that for a body that is homogeneous in the reference configuration,  $W$  will, in general, depend upon  $\underline{\chi}$ . We assume that  $W$  is smooth enough so that various operations indicated below are meaningful. Loosely speaking,

$W \in C^2(\cdot, \cdot)$  will suffice. Equations governing the deformations of the body are (e.g., see Ericksen [6])

$$\left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial G_{ij}^K} \right)_{,i} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial X^K} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.5)$$

$$t_{ij} n_j = f_i \quad \text{on } \partial_1 \Omega, \quad (2.6)$$

$$x_i = \bar{x}_i \quad \text{on } \partial_2 \Omega = \partial \Omega - \partial_1 \Omega, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$t_{ij} \equiv W \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial G_{ij}^K} G_{ij}^K \quad (2.8)$$

is the Cauchy stress tensor,  $f_i$  is the surface traction per unit present area acting on the part  $\partial_1 \Omega$  of the boundary  $\partial \Omega$  of the body,  $n_j$  is an outward unit normal on the boundary  $\partial \Omega$ ,  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta,  $\bar{x}_i$  is a prescribed function of  $X^K$  on  $\partial_2 \Omega$ , and the usual summation convention on repeated indices is used. Since  $\det[G_{ij}^K]$  is assumed to be nonzero, eqn. (2.5) is equivalent to  $t_{ij,j} = 0$ . We refer the reader to Ericksen's elegant paper [6] for the derivation of eqns. (2.5) and (2.6), their relation to other forms of balance laws, and some other interesting topics in elastostatics.

Now let us assume that an elastic body occupying the region  $\Omega$  in the present configuration and subjected to surface tractions  $f_i$  on the part  $\partial_1 \Omega$  of the boundary and prescribed current position vectors  $\bar{x}_i$  on the part  $\partial_2 \Omega$  of the boundary have only one defect at a point  $\chi$  in the interior of  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, let  $V$  denote the spherical region of radius  $\epsilon$  centered at  $\chi$ , and

$$E = \int_{\Omega-V} W \, dv + E_{\text{ext}} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $E_{\text{ext}}$  is the potential energy of surface tractions  $f_i$  on  $\partial_1 \Omega$ . Since  $f_i$  depends upon the deformation of  $\partial_1 \Omega$ ,  $E_{\text{ext}}$  is to be computed by integrating

the expression

$$dE_{\text{ext}} = - \int_{\partial_1 \Omega} f_i dx_i ds. \quad (2.10)$$

The deformation field (2.1) or (2.2) and hence the value of  $E$  will depend upon, among other factors, the position  $\underline{x}$  of the defect. Eqns. (2.5) and (2.6) will hold everywhere in  $\Omega - V$ . For a straight line defect such as an edge or a screw dislocation through  $\underline{x}$ , we take for  $V$  a cylindrical region of radius  $\epsilon$  with its axis coinciding with the line defect. Henceforth, this modification of  $V$  to the cylindrical region for a line defect will be implied without expressly stating so.

Our main result is the following

Theorem: Let  $\Sigma \subset \Omega$  be a closed surface enclosing the defect. Then the force  $F_i$  on it is given by

$$F_i = \int_{\Sigma} (W \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial G_i^K} G_j^K) n_j ds = \int_{\Sigma} t_{ij} n^j ds \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\underline{n}$  is an outward unit normal to  $\Sigma$ .

Proof of the Theorem.

Let the defect undergo a virtual infinitesimal displacement  $\Delta \underline{x}$ . Because of this, the deformation field in  $\Omega$  and the current shape of the body will change. We denote by  $\Omega^*$  the region occupied by the body after the defect has moved to  $\underline{x} + \Delta \underline{x}$ ,  $V^*$  the spherical region of radius  $\epsilon$  centered at  $\underline{x} + \Delta \underline{x}$ . Then

$$E^* = \int_{\Omega^* - V^*} W(G_i^K + \Delta G_i^K, \chi^K + \Delta \chi^K) dv + E_{\text{ext}}^* \quad (3.1)$$

In the following we assume that  $|\Delta \underline{x}|$ ,  $|\Delta \chi|$  and  $|\Delta G|$  are of the order of  $|\Delta \underline{x}|$  where  $|\cdot|$  signifies the magnitude of the enclosed quantity. For

example,  $|\Delta \underline{y}| = (\Delta y_i \Delta y_i)^{1/2}$ . The change in  $E$  because of the displacement  $\Delta \underline{y}$  of the defect can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E = & \int_{\partial \Omega} W(\underline{G}, \underline{X}) \Delta x^i n_i ds - \int_{\partial V} W(\underline{G}, \underline{X}) \Delta y^i n_i ds + \\ & \int_{\Omega-V} [W(\underline{G} + \Delta \underline{G}, \underline{X} + \Delta \underline{X}) - W(\underline{G}, \underline{X})] dv - \int_{\partial_1 \Omega} f_i \Delta x^i ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here and below the unit normal on  $\partial V$  points into  $\Omega - V$  whereas  $\underline{n}$  on  $\partial \Omega$  points out into the exterior of  $\Omega$ . Since  $X^K$  are co-ordinates of a material point in the reference configuration, therefore

$$\Delta X^K + G^K_i \Delta x^i = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

To the first order in  $|\Delta \underline{x}|$  or  $|\Delta \underline{X}|$ , the third term on the right-hand side of (3.2) may be simplified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega-V} [W(\underline{G} + \Delta \underline{G}, \underline{X} + \Delta \underline{X}) - W(\underline{G}, \underline{X})] dv \\ & = \int_{\Omega-V} \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial G^K_i} \Delta G^K_i + \frac{\partial W}{\partial X^K} \Delta X^K \right] dv \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$= - \int_{\Omega-V} \left[ \frac{\partial W}{\partial G^K_i} (G^K_j \Delta x^j)_{,i} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial X^K} G^K_j \Delta x^j \right] dv \quad (3.5)$$

$$= - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial W}{\partial G^K_i} G^K_j \Delta x^j n_i ds + \int_{\partial V} \frac{\partial W}{\partial G^K_i} G^K_j \Delta y^j n_i ds \quad (3.6)$$

$$- \int_{\Omega-V} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial X^K} - \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial G^K_i} \right)_{,i} \right) G^K_j \Delta x^j dv$$

In deriving (3.6) from (3.5) we have integrated by parts, used the divergence theorem, and have set  $\Delta x^i = \Delta y^i$  on  $\partial V$ . The various terms in the integrands of (3.6) are evaluated at  $(G^K_i, X^K)$ . Note that the integrand of the third integral on the right-hand side of (3.6) is zero because of (2.5). Substitution from (3.6) into (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E = & \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[ (W \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial G_j^K} G_j^K) n_i - f_j \right] \Delta x^j ds \\ & - \int_{\partial V} (W \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial G_j^K} G_j^K) \Delta y^i n_j ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $W$  and  $\frac{\partial W}{\partial G}$  are evaluated at  $(\underline{G}, \underline{X})$ . Because the boundary condition (2.6) is satisfied, therefore, the first integral on the right-hand side of (3.7) vanishes. Since, to the first order in  $|\Delta \underline{X}|$ ,

$$\Delta E = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} \Delta y^i,$$

therefore, from (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} &= - \int_{\partial V} (W \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial G_j^K} G_j^K) n_j ds \\ &= - \int_{\partial V} t_{ij} n_j ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Let  $\Sigma$  be any closed surface (or a cylindrical tube) enclosing  $V$  and hence the defect. Since there is no other defect in the body, various fields such as  $t_{ij}$  are smooth in the region enclosed between  $\Sigma$  and  $\partial V$ . If there were other defects present in  $\Omega$ , we will need to choose  $\Sigma$  so that it surrounds  $V$  and has no defect other than the one included in  $V$ . Thus the integration in (3.8) may be performed over  $\partial\Sigma$  rather than  $\partial V$ . Hence

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial y^i} = - \int_{\partial\Sigma} t_{ij} n_j ds.$$

Recalling (1.2), taking the limit of both sides as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and noting that the right-hand side is independent of  $\epsilon$  gives (2.10).

## REMARKS

Eqn. (2.10) gives the present force on a defect and since it is obtained by integrating the surface tractions over a closed surface embracing the defect, it equals the force exerted on the closed surface by the surrounding medium. This closed surface can be taken to be the core of the defect. Our result (2.10) agrees with Eshelby's [4] for the force on a disclination in a nematic liquid crystal.

Expressions like  $(2.10)_1$  have been used by Rice [7] and others to find the force on the tip of a crack. Rice's path independent integral corresponds to the component of Eshelby's energy-momentum tensor in the direction of the crack. It seems that one ought to be able to use eqn. (2.10) to find the present force on a crack tip even though we have not explored this in any detail.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Professor J.L. Ericksen for his suggesting the problem, and for his advice and encouragement during the course of this work. The work was done while the author was visiting the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications and the Department of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanics, The University of Minnesota.

## REFERENCES

1. F.R.N. Nabarro, Theory of Crystal Dislocations, Oxford University Press, 1967.
2. J.D. Eshelby, The Continuum Theory of Lattice Defects, Solid State Physics (editors F. Seitz and D. Turnbull), vol. 3, Academic Press, New York, 79-156, 1956.
3. C. Teodosiu, Elastic Models of Crystal Defects, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.

4. J.D. Eshelby, The Force on a Disclination in a Liquid Crystal, Philosophical Magazine, 42A, 359-367, 1980.
5. J.L. Ericksen, Equilibrium Theory of Liquid Crystals, in Advances in Liquid Crystals (ed. G. Brown), vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 233-298, 1976.
6. J.L. Ericksen, Special Topics in Elastostatics in Advances in Applied Mechanics (ed. C.-S. Yih) Academic Press, New York, 189-244, 1977.
7. J.R. Rice, A path independent integral and the approximate analysis of strain concentrations by notches and cracks, J. Appl. Mechs., 35, 379-386.