

A new property of Cholesky factorization of matrices arising in interior point methods.

Don Goldfarb, Katya Scheinberg

Overview

Numerical linear algebra motivation.

Interior point methods motivation.

The result for Cholesky factorization in LP and QP.

“Extensions” for SOCP (and SDP).

Cholesky Factorization

Given a matrix $M \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ its CF: $M = L\Lambda L^T$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \times & 1 & & \\ \times & \times & 1 & \\ \times & \times & \times & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Cholesky Factorization

Given a matrix $M \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ its CF: $M = L\Lambda L^T$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \times & 1 & \\ \times & \times & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}$$

The error of back/forward-solving depends on $\|L\|$.

Cholesky Factorization

Given a matrix $M \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ its CF: $M = L\Lambda L^T$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \times & 1 & \\ \times & \times & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}$$

The error of back/forward-solving depends on $\|L\|$.

Forward error of CF depends on $\|L\|$.

Cholesky Factorization

Given a matrix $M \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ its CF: $M = L\Lambda L^T$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \times & 1 & \\ \times & \times & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}$$

The error of back/forward-solving depends on $\|L\|$.

Forward error of CF depends on $\|L\|$.

If M is nearly singular, symmetric pivoting can be used to reduce $\|L\|$.

Example 1

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 1

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1/\epsilon & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_L$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \epsilon^2 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_\Lambda$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/\epsilon \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{L^T}$$

Forward Error

Assume that $\epsilon^2 \sim$ machine precision

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

Assume that $\epsilon^2 \sim$ machine precision

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

Assume that $\epsilon^2 \sim$ machine precision

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \alpha\epsilon^2 & \epsilon + \beta\epsilon^2 \\ 0 & \epsilon + \gamma\epsilon^2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

Assume that $\epsilon^2 \sim$ machine precision

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2 & \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

Assume that $\epsilon^2 \sim$ machine precision

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2\epsilon^2 & \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

Assume that $\epsilon^2 \sim$ machine precision

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2\epsilon^2 & \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \epsilon & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2\epsilon^2 & \\ & & 1\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_L$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \epsilon^2 & \\ & & 2 - \epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}}_\Lambda$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{L^T}$$

Forward Error

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \alpha\epsilon^2 & \epsilon^2 + \beta\epsilon^2 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \gamma\epsilon^2 & 2 + \delta\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \alpha\epsilon^2 & \epsilon^2 + \beta\epsilon^2 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \gamma\epsilon^2 & 2 + \delta\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ (1 + \alpha)\epsilon^2 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1+\gamma}{1+\alpha} \\ 0 & (1 + \beta)\epsilon^2 & 2 + \delta\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward Error

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 1 + \epsilon^2 \\ 1 & 1 + \epsilon^2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \alpha\epsilon^2 & \epsilon^2 + \beta\epsilon^2 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 + \gamma\epsilon^2 & 2 + \delta\epsilon^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ (1 + \alpha)\epsilon^2 \\ 2 + \Theta(\epsilon^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1+\gamma}{1+\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear Programming

$$XZe = \mu e,$$

$$Ax = b,$$

$$A^T y + z = c,$$

$$x \geq 0, z \geq 0.$$

Linear Programming

$$XZe = \mu e,$$

$$Ax = b,$$

$$A^T y + z = c,$$

$$x \geq 0, z \geq 0.$$

$$ADA^T \Delta y = r$$

Linear Programming

$$XZe = \mu e,$$

$$Ax = b,$$

$$A^T y + z = c,$$

$$x \geq 0, z \geq 0.$$

$$ADA^T \Delta y = r \quad ADA^T = L\Lambda L^T$$

Linear Programming

$$XZe = \mu e,$$

$$Ax = b,$$

$$A^T y + z = c,$$

$$x \geq 0, z \geq 0.$$

$$ADA^T \Delta y = r \quad ADA^T = L\Lambda L^T$$

$$D = XZ^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1}{z_1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \frac{x_n}{z_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Linear Programming

Near the central path

$$x_i \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x_i = \Theta(\mu), z_i = \Theta(1)$$

$$z_i \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow z_i = \Theta(\mu), x_i = \Theta(1)$$

Linear Programming

NOT near the central path

$$x_i \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x_i \neq \Theta(\mu), z_i = \Theta(1)$$

$$z_i \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow z_i \neq \Theta(\mu), x_i = \Theta(1)$$

LP Theorem

Theorem 0 *Given a fixed matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$*

$$\sup\{\|L\| : L \Lambda L^T = A D A^T, \forall \text{ positive diagonal } D\} < \infty$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{kk} & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{ik} & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{kk} & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{ik} & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

$$l_{ik} = m_{kk}/m_{ik}$$

$$m_{kk} = r^T A_1 D_1 A_1^T r + r^T A_2 D_2 A_2^T r$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{kk} & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{ik} & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

$$l_{ik} = m_{kk}/m_{ik}$$

$$m_{kk} = r^T A_1 D_1 A_1^T r + r^T A_2 D_2 A_2^T r$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{kk} & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{ik} & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

$$l_{ik} = m_{kk}/m_{ik} \quad m_{kk} = r^T A_1 D_1 A_1^T r + r^T A_2 D_2 A_2^T r$$

$$m_{ik} = \rho^T A_1 D_1 A_1^T r + \rho^T A_2 D_2 A_2^T r$$

Sketch of the proof

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{kk} & \times & \times \\ & & & m_{ik} & \times & \times \\ & & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

$$l_{ik} = m_{kk}/m_{ik} \quad m_{kk} = r^T A_1 D_1 A_1^T r + r^T A_2 D_2 A_2^T r$$

$$m_{ik} = \rho^T A_1 D_1 A_1^T r + \rho^T A_2 D_2 A_2^T r$$

Quadratic Programming

$$A(Q + D)^{-1}A^T \quad D = X^{-1}Z$$

Quadratic Programming

$$A(Q + D)^{-1}A^T \quad D = X^{-1}Z$$

Theorem 1 *Given fixed matrices $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ and $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ p.s.d.*

$$\sup\{\|L\| : L \Lambda L^T = A(Q + D)^{-1}A^T, \forall \text{ positive diagonal } D\} < \infty$$

Second Order Cone Programming

$$\min (c^1)^T x^1 + (c^2)^T x^2 + \dots + (c^N)^T x^N$$

$$A^1 x^1 + A^2 x^2 + \dots + A^n x^n = b$$

$$x^1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, x^2 \in \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, x^N \in \mathcal{K}_N$$

$$x^i = (x_0^i, \bar{x}^i) \in \mathcal{K}_i \subset \mathbf{R}^{n_i} : x_0^i \geq \|\bar{x}^i\|$$

Second Order Cone Programming

$$\min (c^1)^T x^1 + (c^2)^T x^2 + \dots + (c^N)^T x^N$$

$$A^1 x^1 + A^2 x^2 + \dots + A^n x^n = b$$

$$x^1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, x^2 \in \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, x^N \in \mathcal{K}_N$$

$$x^i = (x_0^i, \bar{x}^i) \in \mathcal{K}_i \subset \mathbf{R}^{n_i} : x_0^i \geq \|\bar{x}^i\|$$

$$\max b^T y$$

$$y^T A^i + z^i = c^i, \quad \forall i = 1, N$$

$$z^1 \in \mathcal{K}_1, z^2 \in \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, z^N \in \mathcal{K}_N$$

Second Order Cone Programming

$$A^1 x^1 + A^2 x^2 + \dots + A^n x^n = b$$

$$y^T A^i + z^i = c^i, \quad \forall i = 1, N$$

$$\text{Arr}(x) \text{Arr}(z) e_0 = 0$$

$$\text{Arr}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_0 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ x_n & & & x_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normal equation, primal method

$$AFA^T, \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & & & \\ & F_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & F_N \end{bmatrix},$$

Normal equation, primal method

$$AFA^T, \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & & & \\ & F_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & F_N \end{bmatrix},$$

$$F_i = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0^i)^2 + \|\bar{x}^i\|^2 & 2x_0^i(\bar{x}^i)^T \\ 2x_0^i\bar{x}^i & \gamma(x^i)^2 * I + 2 * \bar{x}^i(\bar{x}^i)^T \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\gamma^2(x^i) = (x_0^i)^2 - \|\bar{x}^i\|^2 \geq 0$$

Eigenvalue/vector decomposition of F

$$F = QDQ^T,$$

Eigenvalue/vector decomposition of F

$$F = QDQ^T,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0 + \|\bar{x}\|)^2 & & & & \\ & \gamma^2(x) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \gamma^2(x) & \\ & & & & (x_0 - \|\bar{x}\|)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ \bar{x}/\sqrt{2}\|\bar{x}\| & q^2 & \dots & q^{n_i-1} & -\bar{x}/\sqrt{2}\|\bar{x}\| \end{bmatrix},$$

Eigenvalue/vector decomposition of F

$$F = QDQ^T,$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0 + \|\bar{x}\|)^2 & & & & \\ & \gamma^2(x) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \gamma^2(x) & \\ & & & & (x_0 - \|\bar{x}\|)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ \bar{x}/\sqrt{2}\|\bar{x}\| & q^2 & \dots & q^{n_i-1} & -\bar{x}/\sqrt{2}\|\bar{x}\| \end{bmatrix},$$

q^2, \dots, q^{n_i-1} are such that Q is an orthogonal matrix.

Three types of cones

By complementarity

$$(x^*)^T z^* = 0, \quad \gamma(x^*)\gamma(z^*) = 0$$

Under strict complementarity, there are three types of cones:

Three types of cones

By complementarity

$$(x^*)^T z^* = 0, \quad \gamma(x^*)\gamma(z^*) = 0$$

Under strict complementarity, there are three types of cones:

I. $x^* = 0, \gamma(z^*) > 0$

Three types of cones

By complementarity

$$(x^*)^T z^* = 0, \quad \gamma(x^*)\gamma(z^*) = 0$$

Under strict complementarity, there are three types of cones:

I. $x^* = 0, \gamma(z^*) > 0$

II. $\gamma(x^*) > 0, z^* = 0$

Three types of cones

By complementarity

$$(x^*)^T z^* = 0, \quad \gamma(x^*)\gamma(z^*) = 0$$

Under strict complementarity, there are three types of cones:

I. $x^* = 0, \gamma(z^*) > 0$

II. $\gamma(x^*) > 0, z^* = 0$

III. $\gamma(x^*) = 0, x^* \neq 0, \gamma(z^*) = 0, z^* \neq 0$

On the central path

$$\text{Arr}(x)\text{Arr}(z)e_0 = \mu e_0, \quad \gamma(x)\gamma(z) = \Theta(\mu)$$

On the central path

$$\text{Arr}(x)\text{Arr}(z)e_0 = \mu e_0, \quad \gamma(x)\gamma(z) = \Theta(\mu)$$

I. $x(\mu) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(x(\mu)) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(z(\mu)) = \Theta(1)$

On the central path

$$\text{Arr}(x)\text{Arr}(z)e_0 = \mu e_0, \quad \gamma(x)\gamma(z) = \Theta(\mu)$$

I. $x(\mu) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(x(\mu)) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(z(\mu)) = \Theta(1)$

II. $\gamma(x(\mu)) = \Theta(1), z(\mu) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(z(\mu)) = \Theta(\mu)$

On the central path

$$\text{Arr}(x)\text{Arr}(z)e_0 = \mu e_0, \quad \gamma(x)\gamma(z) = \Theta(\mu)$$

I. $x(\mu) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(x(\mu)) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(z(\mu)) = \Theta(1)$

II. $\gamma(x(\mu)) = \Theta(1), z(\mu) = \Theta(\mu), \gamma(z(\mu)) = \Theta(\mu)$

III. $\gamma(x(\mu)) = \sqrt{\mu}, x(\mu) = x^* + \Theta(\mu),$
 $\gamma(z(\mu)) = \sqrt{\mu}, z(\mu) = z^* + \Theta(\mu)$

Three types of cones

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0 + \|\bar{x}\|)^2 & & & & & \\ & \gamma^2(x) & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \gamma^2(x) & & \\ & & & & & (x_0 - \|\bar{x}\|)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

Three types of cones

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0 + \|\bar{x}\|)^2 & & & & \\ & \gamma^2(x) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \gamma^2(x) & \\ & & & & (x_0 - \|\bar{x}\|)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$I: x(\mu) \rightarrow 0 \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(\mu^2) & & & & \\ & \Theta(\mu^2) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \Theta(\mu^2) & \\ & & & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Three types of cones

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0 + \|\bar{x}\|)^2 & & & & \\ & \gamma^2(x) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \gamma^2(x) & \\ & & & & (x_0 - \|\bar{x}\|)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\| : \gamma(x(\mu)) \geq \gamma^* > 0 \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & & & \\ & \Theta(1) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \Theta(1) & \\ & & & & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix}$$

Three types of cones

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} (x_0 + \|\bar{x}\|)^2 & & & & \\ & \gamma^2(x) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \gamma^2(x) & \\ & & & & (x_0 - \|\bar{x}\|)^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{III: } \gamma(x(\mu)) \rightarrow 0, D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Bad Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3\mu \\ \sqrt{\mu} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Bad Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3\mu \\ \sqrt{\mu} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^2(\mathbf{x}) = \Theta(\mu), \quad A\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Bad Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3\mu \\ \sqrt{\mu} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^2(x) = \Theta(\mu), \quad Ax = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$AFA^T = \frac{\gamma^2(x)}{2} A \begin{bmatrix} -1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} A^T + Ax x^T A^T = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

Bad Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3\mu \\ \sqrt{\mu} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^2(x) = \Theta(\mu), \quad Ax = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$AFA^T = L\Lambda L^T : \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \Theta(1) & & & \\ \Theta(1/\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1/\sqrt{\mu}) & & \\ \Theta(1/\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1/\sqrt{\mu}) & 1 & \end{bmatrix},$$

Bad Example (cont.)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(1) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

Bad Example (cont.)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(1) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$AFA^T = AQDQ^T A^T = AQ_1 D_1 Q_1^T A^T + AQ_\mu D_\mu Q_\mu^T A^T,$$

Bad Example (cont.)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(1) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$m_{11} = \mathbf{a}_1 F(\mathbf{a}_1)^T = \mathbf{a}_1 Q_1 D_1 Q_1^T (\mathbf{a}_1)^T + \mathbf{a}_1 Q_\mu D_\mu Q_\mu^T (\mathbf{a}_1)^T,$$

Bad Example (cont.)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(1) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$m_{11} = \mathbf{a}_1 F(\mathbf{a}_1)^T = \mathbf{a}_1 Q_1 D_1 Q_1^T (\mathbf{a}_1)^T + \mathbf{a}_1 Q_\mu D_\mu Q_\mu^T (\mathbf{a}_1)^T,$$

Bad Example (cont.)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(1) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$m_{11} = a_1 F(a_1)^T = a_1 Q_1 D_1 Q_1^T (a_1)^T + a_1 Q_\mu D_\mu Q_\mu^T (a_1)^T,$$

$$D = \Theta(1), \quad a_1 Q_1 = \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}), \quad m_{11} = \Theta(\mu)$$

Bad Example (cont.)

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & & \\ & \Theta(\mu) & \\ & & \Theta(\mu^2) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta(1) & \Theta(\mu) & \Theta(1) \\ \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}) & \Theta(1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$m_{11} = a_1 F(a_1)^T = a_1 Q_1 D_1 Q_1^T (a_1)^T + a_1 Q_\mu D_\mu Q_\mu^T (a_1)^T,$$

$$D = \Theta(1), \quad a_1 Q_1 = \Theta(\sqrt{\mu}), \quad m_{11} = \Theta(\mu)$$

$$m_{31} = a_3 Q_1 D_1 Q_1^T (a_1)^T + \dots = \Theta(\sqrt{\mu})$$

SOCP Theorem

Theorem 1 *Given a fixed matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$, and \mathcal{F} - a set of SOCP primal scaling matrices for $x(\mu)$, with $x(\mu) = x^* + \Theta(\mu)$, and any $\mu > 0$ then*

$$\sup\{\|L\| : L \Lambda L^T = AFA^T, \text{ as } \forall F \in \mathcal{F}\} < \infty$$

Idea of the proof

If $x = x^* + \Theta(\mu)$, then $Q_1(\mu) = Q_1^* + \Theta(\mu)$
(in the bad example $Q_1(\mu) = Q^* + \Theta(\sqrt{\mu})$)

Conclusions and extensions

For LP (and QP) it is not essential to follow the central path for stability of L.

Conclusions and extensions

For LP (and QP) it is not essential to follow the central path for stability of L.

For SOCP it is important to stay in proximity of the central path.

Conclusions and extensions

For LP (and QP) it is not essential to follow the central path for stability of L.

For SOCP it is important to stay in proximity of the central path.

For SDP Jos Sturm has an example that shows the same.

Conclusions and extensions

For LP (and QP) it is not essential to follow the central path for stability of L.

For SOCP it is important to stay in proximity of the central path.

For SDP Jos Sturm has an example that shows the same.

LP: (Dikin-Stewart-Todd-...)

$$\sup\{\|(ADA^T)^{-1}AD\| : \forall \text{diagonal positive } D\} < \infty$$

Conclusions and extensions

For LP (and QP) it is not essential to follow the central path for stability of L.

For SOCP it is important to stay in proximity of the central path.

For SDP Jos Sturm has an example that shows the same.

SDP: On the central path (Sturm, Zhang)

$$\sup\{\|(AFA^T)^{-1}AF\| : \forall \text{diagonal positive } D\} < \infty$$