

Entropy and thinning of discrete random variables

Joint work with Daly, Harremoës, Hillion, Kontoyiannis, Yu

Oliver Johnson

`O.Johnson@bristol.ac.uk`

`http://www.stats.bris.ac.uk/~maotj`

Twitter: @BristOliver

Statistics Group, University of Bristol

13th April 2015

Outline of talk

1. Maximum entropy
2. Poincaré inequality
3. Entropy monotonicity
4. Entropy concavity

Key class of discrete random variables: ULC

- ▶ Write Π_λ for Poisson(λ) mass function.

Definition (Pemantle, Liggett)

For any λ , define class of ultra-log-concave V with mass function P_V satisfying

$$\mathbf{ULC}(\lambda) = \{V : \mathbb{E}V = \lambda \text{ and } P_V(v)/\Pi_\lambda(v) \text{ is log-concave}\}.$$

- ▶ Equivalently $vP_V(v)^2 \geq (v+1)P_V(v+1)P_V(v-1)$, for all v .
- ▶ Class includes Bernoulli sums and Poisson.
- ▶ Class preserved on summation.
- ▶ Discrete version of Bakry-Émery condition?

Equivalent characterization of **ULC**(λ)

Definition (Kontoyiannis–Harremoës–OJ:
IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 2005, pp.466–472)

For random variable V with mean λ , define scaled score function

$$\rho_V(v) = \frac{(v+1)P_V(v+1)}{\lambda P_V(v)} - 1,$$

and scaled Fisher information $K(V) = \lambda \mathbb{E} \rho_V(V)^2$.

- ▶ **ULC**(λ) equivalent to decreasing score ρ_V .

Properties of K (from KHJ paper)

- ▶ For V_1, \dots, V_n independent, the score of the sum

$$\rho_{V_1 + \dots + V_n}(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_i \alpha_i \rho_{V_i}(V_i) \middle| V_1 + \dots + V_n = x \right],$$

where $\alpha_i = \lambda_{V_i} / (\lambda_{V_1} + \dots + \lambda_{V_n})$.

- ▶ Hence

$$K(V_1 + \dots + V_n) \leq \sum_i \alpha_i K(V_i).$$

- ▶ Leads to bounds of right order in Poisson approximation.
- ▶ Log-Sobolev (Bobkov/Ledoux) – for V with mean λ :

$$D(V \| \Pi_\lambda) \leq K(V).$$

Maximum entropy and **ULC**(λ)

Theorem (OJ: *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 2007, pp.791-802)

If $X \in \mathbf{ULC}(\lambda)$ and $Y \sim \Pi_\lambda$ then

$$H(X) \leq H(Y),$$

with equality if and only if $X \sim \Pi_\lambda$.

- ▶ See also Harremoës, 2001.

Thinning discrete random variables

Definition (Rényi)

Given Y , define the α -thinned version of Y by

$$T_\alpha Y = \sum_{i=1}^Y B_i,$$

where $B_1, B_2 \dots$ i.i.d. Bernoulli(α), independent of Y .

- ▶ Thinning operation preserves several parametric families e.g: Poisson, binomial, negative binomial.
- ▶ Believe T_α is the discrete equivalent of scaling by $\sqrt{\alpha}$.
- ▶ 'Mean-preserving transform' $T_\alpha X + T_{1-\alpha} Y$ equivalent to 'variance-preserving transform' $\sqrt{\alpha} X + \sqrt{1-\alpha} Y$ in continuous case? (Matches maximum entropy condition).

Key identity: gradient form

- ▶ Write $\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$ and $\Delta^* g(x) = g(x-1) - g(x)$.

Lemma

Write $P_\alpha(z) = \mathbb{P}(T_\alpha X + T_{1-\alpha} Y = z)$, where $X \sim P$ with mean λ and $Y \sim \Pi_\lambda$ then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} P_\alpha(z) = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \Delta^*(P_\alpha(z) \rho_\alpha(z)),$$

where ρ_α is the score of $T_\alpha X + T_{1-\alpha} Y$ in the KHJ sense above.

Proof of Maximum Entropy Property

- ▶ Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \sum_z P_\alpha(z) \log \Pi_\lambda(z) &= \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \sum_z \Delta^*(P_\alpha(z)\rho_\alpha(z)) \log \Pi_\lambda(z) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \sum_z P_\alpha(z)\rho_\alpha(z) \log \left(\frac{z+1}{\lambda} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $X \in \mathbf{ULC}(\lambda)$ makes this = Cov (decreasing, increasing) ≤ 0 .
- ▶ $X \in \mathbf{ULC}(\lambda)$ makes $-\sum_z P_\alpha(z) \log \Pi_\lambda(z)$ decreasing in α .
- ▶ Since $P_0 = \Pi_\lambda$, and $P_1 = P$, deduce that

$$H(X) \leq -\sum_z P(z) \log \Pi_\lambda(z) \leq -\sum_z \Pi_\lambda(z) \log \Pi_\lambda(z) = H(Y).$$

Discrete Poincaré constant

Definition

Writing $\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$, then for random variable V

$$R_V = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}(V)} \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{E}[g(V)^2]}{\mathbb{E}[\Delta g(V)^2]} \right\},$$

where the supremum is taken over the set

$$\mathcal{G}(V) = \{g : \mathbb{Z}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[g(V)^2] < \infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[g(V)] = 0\}.$$

► That is $\mathbb{E}[g(V)^2] \leq R_V \mathbb{E}[\Delta g(V)^2]$.

Discrete Poincaré inequality

Theorem (Daly–OJ):

Stat. Probab. Letters 2013, pp.511-518)

For $V \in \mathbf{ULC}(\lambda)$:

$$\text{Var}(V) \leq R_V \leq \mathbb{E}V.$$

- ▶ Lower bound true for all variables (take $g(t) = t - \mathbb{E}V$).
- ▶ Upper bound special case of more general result.
- ▶ Define size-biased V^* by

$$\mathbb{P}(V^* = v) = (v + 1)\mathbb{P}(V = v + 1)/(\mathbb{E}V).$$
- ▶ We prove $R_V \leq \mathbb{E}V$ if V^* stochastically dominated by V .
- ▶ ULC property means V^* dominated by V in likelihood ratio ordering (stronger).
- ▶ Can this be extended to log-Sobolev inequality?

Monotonicity for continuous variables

Theorem (Artstein–Ball–Barthe–Naor)

Given independent continuous X_i with finite variance, for any positive α_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1$, writing $\alpha^{(j)} = 1 - \alpha_j$, then

$$nh \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sqrt{\alpha_i} X_i \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \alpha^{(j)} h \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \sqrt{\alpha_i / \alpha^{(j)}} X_i \right).$$

- ▶ See also Tulino–Verdú and Madiman–Barron.
- ▶ Choosing $\alpha_i = 1/(n+1)$ for IID X_i shows $h(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / \sqrt{n})$ is monotone increasing in n .
- ▶ Equivalently relative entropy $D(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i / \sqrt{n} \| Z)$ is monotone decreasing in n .
- ▶ Means CLT is equivalent of 2nd Law of Thermodynamics?

Entropy power and scaling

- ▶ Define $\mathcal{E}(t) = h(N(0, t)) = \frac{1}{2} \log_2(2\pi e t)$.
- ▶ Define entropy power $v(X) = \mathcal{E}^{-1}(h(X)) = 2^{2h(X)}/(2\pi e)$.
- ▶ Key fact about scaling:

$$v(\sqrt{\alpha}X) = \alpha v(X).$$

- ▶ This holds since $h(\sqrt{\alpha}X) = h(X) + \frac{1}{2} \log \alpha$, and $v(\sqrt{\alpha}X) = 2^{2h(\sqrt{\alpha}X)}/(2\pi e)$.

ECI and EPI

Theorem (Strengthened EPI)

Given independent continuous Y_i with finite variance, the entropy powers satisfy

$$nv \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} v \left(\sum_{i \neq j} Y_i \right),$$

with equality if and only if all the Y_i are Gaussian.

Lemma

Strengthened EPI equivalent to monotonicity.

- ▶ Proof uses key scaling fact above.

Discrete Monotonicity

- ▶ Write $D(X)$ for $D(X \parallel \Pi_{\mathbb{E}X})$.
- ▶ By convex ordering arguments, Yu showed that for i.i.d. X_i :
 1. relative entropy $D\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{1/n} X_i\right)$ is monotone decreasing in n ,
 2. for ULC X_i the entropy $H\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{1/n} X_i\right)$ is monotone increasing in n .
- ▶ In fact, implicit in work of Yu is following stronger theorem:

Theorem

Given positive α_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1$, and writing $\alpha^{(j)} = 1 - \alpha_j$, then for any independent X_i ,

$$nD\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} T_{\alpha_i} X_i\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \alpha^{(j)} D\left(\sum_{i \neq j} T_{\alpha_i/\alpha^{(j)}} X_i\right).$$

Monotonicity of entropy

Theorem (OJ–Yu:

IEEE Trans Inform Theory 2010, pp.5387-5395)

Given positive α_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i = 1$, and writing $\alpha^{(j)} = 1 - \alpha_j$, then for any independent ULC X_i ,

$$nH \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} T_{\alpha_i} X_i \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \alpha^{(j)} H \left(\sum_{i \neq j} T_{\alpha_i / \alpha^{(j)}} X_i \right).$$

- ▶ Exact analogue of Artstein/Ball/Barthe/Naor result,

$$nh \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sqrt{\alpha_i} X_i \right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \alpha^{(j)} h \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \sqrt{\alpha_i / \alpha^{(j)}} X_i \right),$$

replacing scalings by thinnings.

Discrete EPI

- ▶ Define $\mathcal{E}(t) = H(\Pi_t)$, an increasing, concave function.
- ▶ Define $V(X) = \mathcal{E}^{-1}(H(X))$.

Conjecture

Consider independent discrete X and Y . Then

$$V(X + Y) \geq V(X) + V(Y),$$

with equality if and only if X and Y are Poisson.

- ▶ Turns out not to be true!
- ▶ Even natural restrictions e.g. ULC, Bernoulli sums don't help
- ▶ Counterexample (not mine!): $X \sim Y$,
 $P_X(0) = 1/6$, $P_X(1) = 2/3$, $P_X(2) = 1/6$.

Scaling result

- ▶ Scaling result does partially hold.

Theorem (OJ–Yu)

Consider ULC X . For any α ,

$$V(T_\alpha X) \geq \alpha V(X).$$

- ▶ Nonetheless (IMHO) no convincing single form of discrete EPI.

Concavity of entropy: Shepp–Olkin conjecture

- ▶ Consider n independent Bernoulli random variables, with parameters $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$.
- ▶ Their sum has mass function $F_{\mathbf{p}}(k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$.
- ▶ Consider entropy of $F_{\mathbf{p}}$, defined by

$$H(\mathbf{p}) := - \sum_{k=0}^n F_{\mathbf{p}}(k) \log F_{\mathbf{p}}(k).$$

Conjecture (Shepp–Olkin (1981))

For any n , the function $\mathbf{p} \mapsto H(\mathbf{p})$ is concave.

- ▶ Sufficient to consider concavity for affine t , i.e. take

$$p_i(t) = p_i(0)(1 - t) + p_i(1)t.$$

Known cases

- ▶ Folklore: $n = 1$.
- ▶ Shepp–Olkin (1981): $n = 2, 3$ (claim with no proof).
- ▶ Shepp–Olkin (1981): for all i , $p_i(t) = t$ (binomial case).
- ▶ Yu–Johnson (2009): for all i , either $p_i(0) = 0$ or $p_i(1) = 0$.
- ▶ Hillion (2012): for all i , either $p_i(t) = t$ or $p_i(t)$ constant (binomial translation case).

Motivating example: binomial case

Example

- ▶ Write spatial derivative $\Delta^* f(k) = f(k) - f(k-1)$.
- ▶ For $0 \leq p < q \leq 1$, define $p(t) = p(1-t) + qt$.
- ▶ Write $F_t(k) = \binom{n}{k} p(t)^k (1-p(t))^{n-k}$.
- ▶ Simple calculation (e.g. Mateev, Shepp–Olkin) shows:

$$\frac{\partial F_t(k)}{\partial t} = \Delta^* \left(n(q-p) \text{Bin}_{n-1, p(t)}(k) \right).$$

- ▶ Rewrite using an idea of Yu ('hypergeometric thinning'):

$$\text{Bin}_{n-1, p}(k) = \frac{(k+1)}{n} \text{Bin}_{n, p}(k+1) + \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) \text{Bin}_{n, p}(k).$$

Motivating example: binomial case (cont.)

Example

- ▶ Suggests we introduce mixtures of mass functions:

$$\frac{\partial F_t(k)}{\partial t} = \Delta^* \left(v G_t^{(\alpha)}(k) \right),$$

for $G_t^{(\alpha)}(k) = \alpha_t(k+1)F_t(k+1) + (1 - \alpha_t(k))F_t(k).$

- ▶ Here $\alpha_t(k) = k/n$ for all k and t and $v = n(q - p).$
- ▶ Again see a gradient form for derivative.
- ▶ Analogue of continuous transport, deduce discrete Benamou–Brenier formula.

Entropy concavity theorem

- ▶ Introduce conditions on α (monotonicity and generalized log-concavity).
- ▶ If these conditions hold, can prove entropy is concave.
- ▶ Able to deduce as special case:

Theorem (Shepp–Olkin Theorem)

For any n , the function $\mathbf{p} \mapsto H(\mathbf{p})$ is concave.

- ▶ Proved in recent works by Hillion–OJ
- ▶ Case where all p'_i have same sign in arXiv:1303.3381 – *Ann. Probab.* to appear (2015?)
- ▶ General case in recent preprint arXiv:1503.01570

Open problem

Conjecture (Generalized Shepp–Olkin conjecture)

1. *There is a critical q_R^* such that the q -Rényi entropy of all Bernoulli sums is concave for $q \leq q_R^*$, and the entropy of some interpolation is convex for $q > q_R^*$.*
2. *There is a critical q_T^* such that the q -Tsallis entropy of all Bernoulli sums is concave for $q \leq q_T^*$, and the entropy of some interpolation is convex for $q > q_T^*$.*

Indeed we conjecture that $q_R^ = 2$ and $q_T^* = 3.65986\dots$, the root of $2 - 4q + 2^q = 0$.*