

Counting rational points via additive combinatorics

Lilian Matthiesen

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu

joint with T. Browning

Basic question

K/\mathbb{Q} finite, $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$, $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ polynomial

Question:

What can be said about $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

$$\exists k \in K : P(t) = \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(k) \neq 0 \quad ?$$

Equivalent problem over \mathbb{Q} :

If $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ a \mathbb{Z} -basis for \mathfrak{o}_K ,

$$P(t) = \mathbf{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_n\omega_n) = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$$

defines variety in $X \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{n+1}$. Interested in $X(\mathbb{Q})$.

Rational points

1. Hasse principle: Let $X(\mathbb{A}) := X(\mathbb{R}) \times \prod_p X(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

$$X(\mathbb{A}) \neq \emptyset \implies X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$$

2. Weak approximation:

$$X(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{A}) \quad \text{via} \quad \mathbf{P} \mapsto \{\mathbf{P}_v\}_{v \in \Omega}$$

$X(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $X(\mathbb{A})$ with product topology.

Given finite $\Sigma \subset \Omega$, and $\mathbf{a}_v \in X(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ for each $v \in \Sigma$. Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \mathbf{a} \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}_v|_v < \varepsilon \quad \forall v \in \Sigma.$$

Neither needs to hold. However:

Conjecture (Colliot-Thélène)

Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to HP and WA for smooth and projective models X^c of the variety X defined by

$$P(t) = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}) .$$

Restrict to split polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, that is

$$P(t) = c \prod_{j=1}^r (t - e_j)^{m_j} \quad c \in \mathbb{Q}^*, e_1, \dots, e_r \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ pairwise distinct.}$$

Some known cases

- *under Schinzel: P arbitrary, K/k cyclic*
Colliot-Thélène, Skorobogatov, Swinnerton-Dyer 1998
- $r = 2$ distinct roots, K/k arbitrary (+extra condition)
Heath-Brown, Skorobogatov 2002
- $r = 2$ distinct roots, K/k arbitrary
Colliot-Thélène, Harari, Skorobogatov 2003
- r arbitrary, K/\mathbb{Q} quadratic
Browning, M, Skorobogatov 2012
- r arbitrary, K/\mathbb{Q} cyclic
Harpaz, Skorobogatov, Wittenberg 2013

Theorem (Browning, M., 2013)

The conjecture holds for $P(t) = c \prod_{j=1}^r (t - e_j)^{m_j}$ and finite K/\mathbb{Q} .

Reduction via descent

Theory of descent (Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc) implies, as shown in [Schindler–Skorobogatov 2012]:

It suffices to prove HP/WA for all $V \subset \mathbb{A}^{m+1}$ given by

$$0 \neq t - e_1 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$0 \neq t - e_r = \lambda_r \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}_r)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}^*$.

Equivalently (homogenisation): HP/WA holds for $V' \subset \mathbb{A}^{(r+1)n+2}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0 \\ (u - e_i v) / \lambda_i &= \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}_i) \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r). \end{aligned}$$

Strategy

More generally: $V \subset \mathbb{A}^{r+ns}$ given by

$$f_i(u_1, \dots, u_s) = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}_i) \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

where $f_i : \mathbb{Z}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ linear forms, non-constant, pairwise non-proportional.

Representation function

$$R(m) = 1_{m \neq 0} \cdot \#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n / U_K^{(+)} : m = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x})\}$$

where $U_K^{(+)} = \{\eta \in U_K : N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\eta = +1\}$.

If $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{x}.\omega) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{y}.\omega) \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{x}.\omega = \eta\mathbf{y}.\omega$, then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}\eta = +1$. Counting problem:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \cap T\mathcal{K}} \prod_{i=1}^r R(f_i(\mathbf{u})) = T^s \beta_\infty \prod_p \beta_p + o(T^s)$$

where $\mathcal{K} \subset [-1, 1]^s$ convex.

Green–Tao methods (+Green–Tao–Ziegler inverse theorem) give such an asymptotic provided:

1. there are pseudo-random majorants
 $\nu^{(T)} : \{1, \dots, T\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ for sufficiently large T s.t.

$$R(m) \leq C\nu^{(T)}(m), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq T,$$

2. $R - (\frac{1}{T} \sum_{m \leq T} R(T))$ is orthogonal to nilsequences.

Pseudo-random majorant

$(\nu^{(T)} : \{1, \dots, T\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0})_{T \in \mathcal{T}}$ is a family of D -pseudorandom majorants if:

The total mass is roughly 1:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{m \leq T} \nu^{(T)}(m) = 1 + o(1)$$

The D -linear forms condition

For all

- integers $0 < t, d \leq D$,
- linear polynomials $h_1, \dots, h_t : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (coefficients bounded by D , pairwise non-proportional linear parts),
- convex $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $h_i(\mathcal{K}') \subseteq [1, T]$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

we have

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathcal{K}'|} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \mathcal{K}'} \nu^{(T)}(h_1(\mathbf{u})) \dots \nu^{(T)}(h_t(\mathbf{u})) = 1 + o(1).$$

What are we looking for?

For multiplicative arith. functions one can hope for

$$\nu^{(T)}(m) = \sum_{d \leq T^\gamma} 1_{d|m} \lambda_d,$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ can be chosen as small as necessary.

Lemma

$$R(m) \ll r_K(|m|),$$

where

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{o}_K} (N\mathfrak{a})^{-s} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{r_K(m)}{m^s}$$

and

$$\sum_{0 < \epsilon m \leq T} R(m) \sim \kappa_\epsilon T, \quad \sum_{0 < m \leq T} r_K(m) \sim \kappa T.$$

Proof of Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}R(m) &= \#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n / U_K^{(+)} : m = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x})\} \\ &\leq 2\#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n / U_K : m = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x})\} \\ &\leq 2\#\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n / U_K : |m| = |\mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x})|\} \\ &\leq 2\#\{(\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{o}_K : \mathbf{N}(\alpha) = |m|\} \\ &\leq 2\#\{\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{o}_K : \mathbf{N} \mathfrak{a} = |m|\} = 2r_K(|m|)\end{aligned}$$

$$U_K^{(+)} = \ker(N_{K/\mathbb{Q}} : U_K \rightarrow \{\pm 1\})$$

Aim: Construct a majorant for r_K that takes the form

$$\nu^{(T)}(m) = \sum_{d \leq T^\gamma} 1_{d|m} \lambda_d.$$

Multiplicative structure of r_K

If $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}$, $N \mathfrak{p}_i = p^{f_i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} r_K(p^m) &= \#\{\mathfrak{p}_1^{m_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{m_k} : \sum_{i=1}^k f_i m_i = m\} \\ &< (m+1)^n = \tau(p^m)^n. \end{aligned}$$

At primes:

$$r_K(p) = \#\{\mathfrak{p} | (p) : f_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1\}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \{p \mid D_K\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \{p \nmid D_K : \exists \mathfrak{p} \mid (p) \text{ such that } f_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) = 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{p \nmid D_K : f_{\mathfrak{p}}(p) \geq 2 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \mid (p)\}.$$

Note: $\mu^2(m) = 1$ and $r_K(m) > 0$ implies

$$m \in \langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle = \{m : p \mid m \implies p \in \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1\}$$

Separate into two cases

Chebotarev: $\mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1$ has Dirichlet density $\frac{1}{n} \leq \delta \leq 1$, that is

$$|\langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle \cap [1, T]| \asymp T \log^{\delta-1} T.$$

$\langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle$ is a sparse set \rightsquigarrow little control on $\mu * r_K$.

Define
$$r_{\text{res}}(p^m) = \begin{cases} r_K(p^m), & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1, \\ 1, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{P}_2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$r_K(m) \leq \sum_{\substack{q \in \langle \mathcal{P}_2 \rangle \\ v_p(q) \neq 1 \ \forall p}} \mathbf{1}_{q|m} \tau(q)^n \mathbf{1}_{\langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle} \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) r_{\text{res}}\left(\frac{m}{q}\right).$$

Suffices to find majorants for $\mathbf{1}_{\langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle}$ and r_{res} separately.

Characteristic function

For $\mathbf{1}_{\langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle}$ can use sieve majorant (Goldston–Pintz–Yıldırım,
Green–Tao)

$$\mathbf{1}_{\langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle}(m) \leq \nu^{(T)}(m) = \left(\sum_{d \in \langle \mathcal{P}_2 \rangle} \mathbf{1}_{d|m} \mu(d) \chi\left(\frac{\log d}{\log T^\gamma}\right) \right)^2.$$

Majorants for positive multiplicative functions

Suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is multiplicative and satisfies

- (a) $f(p^k) \leq H^k$ for all prime powers,
- (b) $f(m) \ll_{\delta} m^{\delta}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\delta > 0$, and
- (c) $f(p^{k-1}) \leq f(p^k)$ for all prime powers.

(Thus $g = \mu * f$ is non-negative.)

Define $f_{\gamma}^{(T)} : \{1, \dots, T\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ via

$$f_{\gamma}^{(T)}(m) = \sum_{d \leq T^{\gamma}} \mathbf{1}_{d|m} g(d).$$

Would like $f(m) \ll f_{\gamma}^{(T)}(m)$ for $m \leq T$.

Consider *bad* sets $S(\kappa) = \{m \leq T : f(m) > H^{\kappa} f_{\gamma}^{(T)}(m)\}$.

Note that

$$\forall m \exists \kappa : m \in S(\kappa) \setminus S(\kappa+1) \quad \text{thus} \quad f(m) \leq \sum_{\kappa \geq 0} H^{\kappa+1} \mathbf{1}_{S(\kappa)}(m) f_{\gamma}^{(T)}(m).$$

Lemma (Based on ideas from paper of Erdős)

If $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ as before, $C_1 > 1$,

$H > 1$ and $m \leq T$ s.t. $f(m) \geq H^\kappa f_\gamma^{(T)}(m)$ for some $\kappa > 2/\gamma$.

Then at least one of three alternatives holds:

- (i) $p^a | m$ for some p^a , $a \geq 2$, with $p^a > \log^{C_1} T$;
- (ii) m is “smooth”:

$$\prod_{p \leq T^{1/(\log \log T)^3}} p^{v_p(m)} \geq T^{\gamma / \log \log T};$$

- (iii) m has a “cluster” of prime factors: there is

$$\log_2 \kappa - 2 \leq \lambda \ll \log \log \log T \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\#\{p | m : T^{1/2^{\lambda+1}} \leq p \leq T^{1/2^\lambda}\} \geq \gamma \kappa (\lambda + 3 - \log_2 \kappa) / 100$$

(The product u of these primes satisfies $u \leq T^\gamma$.)

Truncated divisor sum majorant for $\mathbf{1}_{S(\kappa)}$

If

$$U(\kappa, \lambda) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{\omega(\kappa, \lambda)} p_j : T^{1/2^{\lambda+1}} \leq p \leq T^{1/2^\lambda} \right\}$$

then

$$\mathbf{1}_{S(\kappa)}(m) \leq \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{u \in U(\kappa, \lambda)} \mathbf{1}_{u|m} + \text{error} \left(\mathbf{1}_{(i) \text{ or } (ii)}(m) \right).$$

Recall:

$$f(m) \leq \sum_{\kappa} \mathbf{1}_{S(\kappa)}(m) H^{\kappa+1} f_{\gamma}^{(T)}(m)$$

Replace $\mathbf{1}_{S(\kappa)}(m)$ by above bound to obtain $\nu^{(T)}(m)$.

Then

$$\sum_{m \leq T} \nu^{(T)}(m) \ll \sum_{m \leq T} f(m)$$

Complete majorant

$$\nu^{(T)}(m) = \left(\sum_{d \in \langle \mathcal{P}_2 \rangle} 1_{d|m} \mu(d) \chi\left(\frac{\log d}{\log T^\gamma}\right) \right)^2 \\ \times \left(\sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{u \in U(\kappa, \lambda)} 2^{n\kappa} 1_{u|m} \sum_{d \in \langle \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \rangle} g(d) \chi\left(\frac{\log d}{\log T^\gamma}\right) \right)$$

Summary

These ideas lead to a proof of the first of the requirements for an application of Green–Tao

1. there are pseudo-random majorants $\nu^{(T)} : \{1, \dots, T\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ for sufficiently large T s.t.

$$R(m) \leq C\nu^{(T)}(m), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq T,$$

2. $R - (\frac{1}{T} \sum_{m \leq T} R(T))$ is orthogonal to nilsequences.

Both parts together lead to a proof of HP/WA for the variety

$$f_i(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{N}_K(\mathbf{x}_i), 1 \leq i \leq r.$$